

Attachment to Amendment 3
HC1047-08-T-0017R

Question 1. To enable offerors to scope the type and level of review required, please specify the expected value of the acquisition the selected contractor would be supporting.

Answer: The current value of the contract is approximately \$1B over an eight year period. The expected value of the acquisition the selected contractor would be supporting is estimated to similar in value.

Question 2. Is travel priced separately as an ODC or as part of the total FFP?

Answer: Price travel as part of the total FFP.

Question 3. When do you anticipate award of this task, and when do you anticipate contract performance to begin?

Answer: The government anticipates award of the requirement by 1 Sep 08 and performance to begin at the same time.

Question 4. Please confirm that the offeror's work location may be co-located with or local to *either* the Ft. Huachuca, Arizona office or the Indian Head, Maryland office.

Answer: The offeror's work location may be co-located with or local to either Fort Huachuca, AZ or the Indian Head, Maryland office. As stated in paragraph 5.0 (Constraints) of the Statement of Objectives, "The Government will consider contractor work locations utilizing Ft. Huachuca and/or the use of the JITC facility located on the Naval Surface Warfare Center co-located to Indian Head, MD. Furthermore, the contractor is encouraged to propose innovative solutions as to how the contractor could maintain regular, consistent interface with the government PMO representative if the contractor representatives are not co-located with the government PMO representative. As such, alternate work locations other than the Fort Huachuca and Indian Head locations may be proposed by the contractor provided there are no facility related costs and contractor will provide assurances that all work related information and data as outlined in sections 5.2 and 6.0 will be kept in a secure area.

Question 5. In order to better understand the scope of the requirements of this solicitation, can you tell us where the Decision Authority (DA) for the JITC Mission Support PBSA will ultimately reside? Will it be at with DISA or OSD (AT&L)?

Question 6: Will the acquisition for which the selected contractor would be creating the acquisition planning documents require approval at the DoD level, or is the decision authority within DISA?

Answer to Questions 5 & 6: The JITC PMO is looking forward to having contractor assistance in going through AoS Phase I to: assess/document the capability need and then

determine which definition, IT or non-IT services, the capability need falls in and then determine potential rough order of magnitude estimate, which will provide the information necessary to determine Decision Authority.

Question 7. Will you accept and evaluate alternative proposals that propose an integrated planning process and modified timeline?

Answer: Yes, the government will accept and evaluate alternative proposals that propose an integrated planning process and modified timeline.

Question 8. Given that the answers to these questions and those previously submitted (and pending response) would have a material impact on offerors' proposed solutions; will you extend the due date for proposals to 7 August 2008?

Answer: Yes, the government will extend the due date for proposals to 7 August 2008.