



DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY

P. O. BOX 549
FORT MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-0549

IN REPLY
REFER TO: Joint Interoperability Test Command (JTE)

7 Nov 13

MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Extension of the Special Interoperability Test Certification of the Cisco 1900 Series with Internetwork Operating System (IOS) 15.1(4)M3

References: (a) DoD Directive 4630.05, "Interoperability and Supportability of Information Technology (IT) and National Security Systems (NSS)," 5 May 2004
(b) CJCSI 6212.01E, "Interoperability and Supportability of Information Technology and National Security Systems," 15 December 2008
(c) through (f), see Enclosure

1. References (a) and (b) establish Defense Information Security Agency (DISA) Joint Interoperability Test Command (JITC), as the responsible organization for interoperability test certification.

2. The Cisco 1941 with IOS 15.1(4)M3 is hereinafter referred to as the System Under Test (SUT). The SUT meets all of its critical interoperability requirements for joint use within the Defense Information System Network (DISN) as a High Availability Customer Edge Router (CER). When a CER meets the High Availability CER requirements, it is also certified as a Medium Availability with System Quality Factors (SQF), Medium Availability without SQF, and Low Availability CER. The SUT met the High Availability and Medium Availability with SQF CER requirements in a dual-chassis configuration. The SUT met the critical interoperability requirements set forth in Reference (c), using test procedures derived from Reference (d). The SUT met the critical interoperability requirements for the following Wide Area Network (WAN) interfaces: Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) 802.3i (10BaseT) and Digital Signal Level (DSL) 1. The SUT also met the critical interoperability requirements for the following Assured Services Local Area Network (ASLAN) interfaces: IEEE 802.3i (10BaseT), IEEE 802.3u (100BaseT), and IEEE 802.3ab (1000BaseT). The Cisco 1921 employs the same software and similar hardware as the SUT. JITC analysis determined this system to be functionally identical to the SUT for interoperability certification purposes and therefore, it is also certified for joint use. No other configurations, features, or functions, except those cited within this memorandum, are certified by JITC. This certification expires upon changes that could affect interoperability, but no later 17 August 2015, which is no later than three years from the date of the Unified Capabilities (UC) Approved Products List (APL) memorandum.

3. The extension of this certification is based upon Desktop Review (DTR) 2. The original certification, documented in Reference (e), is based on interoperability testing conducted by JITC, review of the vendor's Letters of Compliance (LoC), DISA adjudication of open test

discrepancy reports (TDRs), and DISA Certifying Authority (CA) Recommendation of the Information Assurance (IA) configuration. Interoperability testing was conducted by JITC, Fort Huachuca, Arizona, from 2 April through 1 May 2012. Review of the vendor’s LoC was completed on 12 June 2012. DISA adjudication of outstanding TDRs was completed on 10 July 2012. The DISA CA provided a positive Recommendation on 30 May 2012 based on the security testing completed by DISA-led IA test teams and published in a separate report, Reference (f). This DTR was requested to update from IOS 15.1(4)M5 to IOS 15.2(4)M5. The updates contained in this DTR do not affect interoperability functionality and do not change the IA posture. Therefore, JITC recommends this DTR without further testing. Additionally, the DISA CA has approved this DTR to include IOS 15.2(4)M5 without further IA testing. Therefore, the original IA approval applies to this DTR and JITC approves this DTR.

4. The interface, Capability Requirement (CR) and Functional Requirement (FR), and component status of the SUT are listed in Tables 1 and 2. The threshold CR/FRs for CERs are established by Section 5.3.2.14 of Reference (c) and were used to evaluate the interoperability of the SUT.

Table 1. SUT Interface Interoperability Status

Interface	Critical	UCR Reference	Threshold CR/FR (See note 1.)	Status	Remarks
ASLAN Interfaces					
10Base-X	Yes	5.3.2.4.2 5.3.2.14.9	1-3	Certified	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs for the IEEE 802.3i (10BaseT) interface.
100Base-X	Yes	5.3.2.4.2 5.3.2.14.9	1-3	Certified	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs for the IEEE 802.3u (100BaseT) interface.
1000Base-X	No	5.3.2.4.2 5.3.2.14.9	1-3	Certified	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs for the IEEE 802.3ab (1000BaseT) interface.
10GBase-X	No	5.3.2.4.2 5.3.2.14.9	1-3	Not Tested	This interface is not supported and is not required.
WAN Interfaces					
10Base-X	Yes	5.3.2.4.2 5.3.2.14.9	1-3	Certified	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs for the IEEE 802.3i (10BaseT).
100Base-X	Yes	5.3.2.4.2 5.3.2.14.9	1-3	Not Certified (See note 2.)	The SUT did not meet all critical CRs and FRs for the IEEE 802.3u (100BaseT) interface.
1000Base-X	No	5.3.2.4.2 5.3.2.14.9	1-3	Not Tested	This interface is not supported and is not required.
10GBase-X	No	5.3.2.4.2 5.3.2.14.9	1-3	Not Tested	This interface is not supported and is not required.
DS1	No	5.3.2.14.9	1-2	Certified	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs for this interface.
DS3	No	5.3.2.14.9	1-2	Not Tested	This interface is not supported and is not required.
E1	No	5.3.2.14.9	1-2	Not Tested	This interface is supported; however, it was not tested and is not required.
E3	No	5.3.2.14.9	1-2	Not Tested	This interface is not supported and is not required.
EIA-530	No	5.3.2.14.9	1-2	Not Tested	This interface is not supported and is not required.
OC-X	No	5.3.2.14.9	1-2	Not Tested	This interface is not supported and is not required.

Table 1. SUT Interface Interoperability Status (continued)

Interface	Critical	UCR Reference	Threshold CR/FR (See note 1.)	Status	Remarks
Network Management Interfaces					
10Base-X	Yes	5.3.2.4.4	4	Certified	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs for the IEEE 802.3i (10BaseT) interface.
100Base-X	Yes	5.3.2.4.4	4	Certified	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs for the IEEE 802.3u (100BaseT) interface.
1000Base-X	No	5.3.2.4.4 5.3.2.14.9	4	Certified	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs for the IEEE 802.3ab (1000BaseT) interface.
NOTES:					
1. The annotation of 'required' refers to a high-level requirement category. The applicability of each sub-requirement is provided in Reference (e), Enclosure 3. The system under test does not need to provide conditional requirements. However, if a capability is provided, it must function according to the specified requirements.					
2. The SUT was only tested at 10 Mbps for the Ethernet WAN interfaces. The SUT does not have enough processing power to support the full line rate of 100 Mbps on the WAN interface. Currently the UCR requires CERs to support 10/100BaseT Ethernet. DISA adjudicated the finding that the SUT only supports 10 Mbps Ethernet as minor based on the fact the next revision of the UCR (UCR 2013) requires either 10BaseT or 100BaseT, not both.					
LEGEND:					
802.3ab	1000BaseT Gbps Ethernet over twisted pair at 1 Gbps (125 Mbps)	E1	European Digital Multiplex Rate (2.048 Mbps)		
802.3i	10BaseT Mbps over twisted pair	EIA	Electronic Industries Alliance		
802.3u	Standard For Carrier Sense Multiple Access With Collision Detection At 100 Mbps	EIA-530	Standard for 25-position interface for DTE and DCE employing serial binary data interchange		
ASLAN	Assured Services Local Area Network	FR	Functional Requirement		
CER	Customer Edge Router	Gbps	Gigabits per second		
CR	Capability Requirement	IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers		
DCE	Data Circuit-Terminating Equipment	LoC	Letter of Compliance		
DISA	Defense Information Systems Agency	Mbps	Megabits per second		
DS1	Digital Signal Level 1 (1.544 Mbps)	OC	Optical Carrier		
DS3	Digital Signal Level 3	SUT	System Under Test		
DTE	Data Terminal Equipment	UCR	Unified Capabilities Requirements		
		WAN	Wide Area Network		

Table 2. SUT CRs and FRs Status

CR/FR ID	Capability/Function	Applicability (See note 1.)	UCR Reference	Status	Remarks
Product Interface Requirements					
1	Internal Interface Requirements	Required	5.3.2.4.1	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs.
	External Physical Interfaces between Network Components	Required	5.3.2.4.2	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs.
	IP Queue Control Capabilities	Required	5.3.2.17.3.4.2.12 para 1	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs.
	DSCP	Required	5.3.3.3.2	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs.
	VVoIP Per-Hop Behavior Requirements	Required	5.3.3.3.3	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs.
	Traffic Conditioning Requirements	Required	5.3.3.3.4	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs.
CER Requirements					
2	Traffic Conditioning	Required	5.3.2.14.1	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs.
	Differentiated Services Support	Required	5.3.2.14.2	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs.
	Per Hop Behavior Support	Required	5.3.2.14.3	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs.
	Interface to the LSC/MFSS for Traffic Conditioning	Conditional	5.3.2.14.4	Not Tested	The SUT does not support this feature and it is not required.

Table 2. SUT CRs and FRs Status (Continued)

CR/FR ID	Capability/Function	Applicability (See note 1.)	UCR Reference	Status	Remarks
CER Requirements (continued)					
2	Interface to the LSC/MFSS for Bandwidth Allocation	Conditional	5.3.2.14.5	Not Tested	The SUT does not support this feature and it is not required.
	Availability	Required	5.3.2.14.7	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs. The SUT met High Availability CER requirements. (See note 2.)
	Packet Transit Time	Required	5.3.2.14.8	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs.
	CER Interfaces and Throughput Support	Required	5.3.2.14.9	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs.
	Assured VVoIP Latency	Required	5.3.3.4.1	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs. (See note 3.)
	Assured VVoIP CE Latency	Required	5.3.3.4.3	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs. (See note 3.)
	Assured VVoIP CER-to-CER Latency	Required	5.3.3.4.5	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs. (See note 3.)
	Assured VVoIP CER-to-CER Jitter	Required	5.3.3.5.3	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs. (See note 3.)
	Assured VVoIP CE Jitter	Required	5.3.3.5.4	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs. (See note 3.)
	Assured VVoIP CER-to-CER Packet Loss	Required	5.3.3.6.3	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs. (See note 3.)
	Assured VVoIP CE Packet Loss	Required	5.3.3.6.4	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs. (See note 3.)
	End-to-End Availability	Required	5.3.3.12.1	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs. (See note 3.)
	Availability Design Factors	Required	5.3.3.12.2	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs. (See note 3.)
	Product Quality Factors	Required	5.3.3.12.3	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs. (See note 3.)
	Layer 1 – Physical Layer	Required	5.3.3.12.4.1	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs. (See note 3.)
	Layer 2 – Data Link Layer	Required	5.3.3.12.4.2	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs. (See note 3.)
	Provisioning	Required	5.3.3.13	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs. (See note 3.)
	IP Routing Protocols	Required	5.3.3.14	Met	The SUT met this requirement with Static Routing, BGP-4, IS-IS, OSPFv2, OSPFv3, and VRRP.
	Voice Grade of Service	Required	5.3.3.15	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs. (See note 3.)
Survivability	Required	5.3.3.16	Not Tested	This is an E2E engineering requirement and is not testable in a lab environment. (See note 4.)	
IPv6 Requirements					
3	IPv6	Required	5.3.3.10	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs.
	Product Requirements	Required	5.3.5.4	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs with the following minor exception: The SUT does not support the following RFCs 4301 and 4303. (See note 5.)
NM Requirements					
4	VVoIP NMS Interface Requirements	Required	5.3.2.4.4	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs for the 10/100/1000BaseT interfaces. This was met by the vendor's LoC.
	NM Requirements for CERs	Required	5.3.2.18.1	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs for the 10/100/1000BaseT interfaces. This was met by the vendor's LoC.
	NM	Required	5.3.2.14.6	Met	The SUT met all critical CRs and FRs for the 10/100/1000BaseT interfaces. This was met by testing and the vendor's LoC.

Table 2. SUT CRs and FRs Status (continued)

NOTES:			
1. The annotation of 'required' refers to a high-level requirement category. The applicability of each sub-requirement is provided in Reference (e), Enclosure 3.			
2. If a CER meets the High Availability CER requirements, it meets all of the lesser requirements for Medium Availability with and without SQF and Low Availability. The Cisco 1941 meets the High Availability with a dual-chassis configuration. The Cisco 1921 CER was not tested; however, it employs the same software and similar hardware as the Cisco 1941. JITC analysis determined this system to be functionally identical to the 1941 for interoperability certification purposes and therefore, is also certified for joint use.			
3. This requirement was verified in an emulated operational environment. To meet E2E requirements, the SUT must be deployed IAW its deployment guide and the engineering guidelines provided in UCR 2008, Change 3, section 5.3.3.			
4. This requirement was verified in an operational emulated environment. To meet E2E requirements, the SUT must be deployed in accordance with its deployment guide and the engineering guidelines provided in UCR Section 5.3.3.			
5. The vendor submitted an IPv6 LoC with the following noted discrepancy: The SUT does not support RFCs 4301 and 4303. DISA adjudicated this deficiency as minor because this RFC addresses requirements for IPSec, which is an optional requirement and is not implemented in the certified configuration.			
LEGEND:			
BGP	Border Gateway Protocol	LoC	Letters of Compliance
CE	Customer Edge	LSC	Local Session Controller
CER	Customer Edge Router	MFSS	Multifunction Softswitch
CR	Capability Requirement	NM	Network Management
DSCP	Differentiated Services Code Point	NMS	Network Management System
E2E	End-to-End	POA&M	Plan of Actions and Milestones
FR	Functional Requirement	RFC	Request for Comments
IAW	in accordance with	OSPF	Open Shortest Path First
ID	Identification	SQF	System Quality Factors
IP	Internet Protocol	SUT	System Under Test
IPv6	Internet Protocol version 6	UCR	Unified Capabilities Requirements
IS-IS	Intermediate System-Intermediate System	VRRP	Virtual Router Redundancy Protocol
JITC	Joint Interoperability Test Command	VVoIP	Voice and Video over Internet Protocol

5. No detailed test report was developed in accordance with the Program Manager's request. JITC distributes interoperability information via the JITC Electronic Report Distribution (ERD) system, which uses Unclassified-But-Sensitive Internet Protocol Router Network (NIPRNet) e-mail. More comprehensive interoperability status information is available via the JITC System Tracking Program (STP). STP is accessible by .mil/gov users on the NIPRNet at <https://stp.fhu.disa.mil>. Test reports, lessons learned, and related testing documents and references are on the JITC Joint Interoperability Tool (JIT) at <http://jit.fhu.disa.mil> (NIPRNet). Information related to DSN testing is on the Telecom Switched Services Interoperability (TSSI) website at <http://jitc.fhu.disa.mil/tssi>. Due to the sensitivity of the information, the Information Assurance Accreditation Package (IAAP) that contains the approved configuration and deployment guide must be requested directly through government civilian or uniformed military personnel from the Unified Capabilities Certification Office (UCCO), e-mail: disa.meade.ns.list.unified-capabilities-certification-office@mail.mil.

JITC Memo, JTE, Extension of the Special Interoperability Test Certification of the Cisco 1900 Series with Internetwork Operating System (IOS) 15.1(4)M3

6. The JITC point of contact is CPT Jonathan Kim, DSN 879-5182, commercial (520) 538-5182, FAX DSN 879-4347, or e-mail to jonathan.s.kim.mil@mail.mil. JITC's mailing address is P.O. Box 12798, Fort Huachuca, AZ 85670-2798. The UCCO tracking number for the SUT is 1116701.

FOR THE COMMANDER:



Enclosure a/s

for RICHARD A. MEADOR
Chief
Battlespace Communications Portfolio

Distribution (electronic mail):

DoD CIO
Joint Staff J-6, JCS
USD(AT&L)
ISG Secretariat, DISA, JTA
U.S. Strategic Command, J665
US Navy, OPNAV N2/N6FP12
US Army, DA-OSA, CIO/G-6 ASA(ALT), SAIS-IOQ
US Air Force, A3CNN/A6CNN
US Marine Corps, MARCORSYSCOM, SIAT, A&CE Division
US Coast Guard, CG-64
DISA/TEMC
DIA, Office of the Acquisition Executive
NSG Interoperability Assessment Team
DOT&E, Netcentric Systems and Naval Warfare
Medical Health Systems, JMIS IV&V
HQUSAISEC, AMSEL-IE-IS
UCCO

ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

- (c) Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, "Department of Defense Unified Capabilities Requirements 2008, Change 3," September 2011
- (d) Joint Interoperability Test Command, "Unified Capabilities Test Plan (UCTP)," Draft
- (e) Joint Interoperability Test Command, Memo, JTE, "Special Interoperability Test Certification of the Cisco 1900 Series with Internetwork Operating System (IOS) 15.1(4)M3," 13 August 2012
- (f) Joint Interoperability Test Command, "Information Assurance (IA) Assessment of Cisco 1900 Release (Rel.) 15.1(4)M3 (Tracking Number 1116701)," Draft