



DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY

P. O. BOX 549
FORT MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-0549

IN REPLY
REFER TO: Joint Interoperability Test Command (JTE)

5 Feb 13

SUBJECT: Special Interoperability Test Certification of the Juniper Networks EX4200 series Virtual Chassis (VC) Switch Release JUNOS 12.1

- References:
- (a) Department of Defense Directive 4630.05, "Interoperability and Supportability of Information Technology (IT) and National Security Systems (NSS)," 5 May 2004
 - (b) Chairman, Joint Chiefs of Staff Instruction 6212.01E, "Interoperability and Supportability of Information Technology and National Security Systems," 15 December 2008
 - (c) through (e), see Enclosure 1

1. References (a) and (b) establish the Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA), Joint Interoperability Test Command (JITC), as the responsible organization for interoperability (IO) test certification.

2. The Juniper EX4200 Series Switch Release 12.1 is hereinafter referred to as the system under test (SUT). The SUT meets all of its critical IO requirements and is certified for joint use within the Defense Information System Network (DISN) as an Assured Services Local Area Network (ASLAN) Core, Distribution, and Layer 2/Layer 3 Access switch. The SUT is certified as interoperable for joint use with other ASLAN components listed on the Unified Capabilities (UC) Approved Products List (APL) with the following interfaces: 10/100/1000BaseT and 100/1000BaseX for access, and 1000BaseT and 1000/10000BaseX for uplink. All of these interfaces were tested with the exception of the 10BaseT interface. JITC analysis determined that the 10BaseT interface is a low risk for certification based on the vendor's Letter of Compliance (LoC) to comply with the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) 802.3i standard and the testing data collected at all other data rates. The SUT meets the critical interoperability requirements set forth in Reference (c), using test procedures derived from Reference (d). The Juniper Networks switches listed in Table 1 under components all employ the same software and similar hardware as the SUT. JITC analysis determined these systems to be functionally identical to the SUT for IO certification purposes, and they are also certified for joint use.

The SUT is certified to support Assured Services within an ASLAN. If a component meets the minimum requirements for deployment in an ASLAN, it also meets the lesser requirements for deployment in a non-ASLAN. Non-ASLANs are "commercial grade" and provide support to Command and Control (C2) (ROUTINE only calls) (C2(R)) or non-C2 voice subscribers. When deployed in a non-ASLAN, the SUT may also be used to receive all levels of precedence, but is limited to supporting calls that are originated at ROUTINE precedence only. Non-ASLANs do not meet the availability or redundancy requirements for C2 or Special C2 users and therefore are not authorized to support precedence calls originated above ROUTINE.

JITC Memo, JTE, Special Interoperability Test Certification of the Juniper EX 4200 Virtual Chassis series Switch with release 12.1

Testing of the SUT did not include video services or data applications; however, simulated video traffic, preferred data, and best effort data were generated during testing to determine the SUT’s ability to prioritize and properly queue voice media and signaling traffic. No other configurations, features, or functions, except those cited within this document, are certified by JITC. This certification expires upon changes that affect IO but no later than three years from the date of this memorandum.

3. This finding is based on IO testing conducted by the United States Army Information Systems Engineering Command, Technology Integration Center (USAISEC TIC), review of the vendor’s LoC, DISA adjudication of open test discrepancy reports (TDRs), and the DISA Certifying Authority (CA) Recommendation. The IO testing was conducted by the USAISEC TIC, Fort Huachuca, Arizona, from 1 October through 16 November 2012. Review of the vendor’s LoC was completed on 13 Nov 2012. The DISA adjudication of outstanding TDRs was completed on 2 Jan 2013. The DISA CA provided a positive recommendation on 4 Dec 2012, based on the security testing completed by USAISEC TIC-led information assurance (IA) test teams. Those test results are published in a separate report, Reference (e). Enclosure 2 documents the test results and describes the tested network and system configurations.

4. Table 1 provides a UC APL product summary. Table 2 provides the SUT interface IO status and Table 3 provides the Capability Requirements (CRs) and Functional Requirements (FRs) status. The threshold CRs/FRs for ASLAN components are established by Section 5.3.a of Reference (c) and were used to evaluate the IO of the SUT. Enclosure 3 provides a detailed list of the interface, capability, and functional requirements.

Table 1. UC APL Product Summary

| Component ¹ | Release | Sub-Component ^{1, 2} | Certification Applicability | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|--|-----------------------------|--------------|--------|
| | | | Core | Distribution | Access |
| <u>EX4200-24F</u> | JUNOS OS 12.1 | <u>EX-UM-2X4SFP</u> EX-UM-2XFP EX-UM-4SFP | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| EX4200-24F-DC | | | | | |
| EX4200-24P | | | | | |
| EX4200-24PX | | | | | |
| EX4200-24T | | | | | |
| EX4200-24T-DC | | | | | |
| EX4200-48P | | | | | |
| <u>EX4200-48PX</u> | | | | | |
| EX4200-48T | | | | | |
| EX4200-48T-DC | | | | | |
| EX4200-24F-DC-TAA | | | | | |
| EX4200-24F-TAA | | | | | |
| EX4200-24P-TAA | | | | | |
| EX4200-24T-TAA | | | | | |
| EX4200-48P-TAA | | | | | |
| EX4200-48T-PAA | | | | | |
| EX4200-24PX-TAA | | | | | |
| EX4200-48PX-TAA | | | | | |

NOTE:
1. Components bolded and underlined were tested by the USAISEC TIC. The other components in the family series were not tested; however, they utilize the same OS software and similar hardware. JITC analysis determined them to be functionally identical for IO certification purposes and they are also certified for joint use
2. Listed uplink modules supported in all EX4200 modules
- EX-UM-2X4SFP: EX4200 2-Port 10G SFP+ / 4-port 1G SFP+ Uplink Module (optics sold separately)
- EX-UM-2XFP: EX 4200 2-Port 10G XFP Uplink Module (optics sold separately)
- EX-UM-4SFP: EX 4200 4-Port 1G SFP Uplink Module (optics sold separately)

JITC Memo, JTE, Special Interoperability Test Certification of the Juniper EX 4200 Virtual Chassis series Switch with release 12.1

Table 1. UC APL Product Summary (continued)

| | | | |
|----------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---|
| LEGEND: | | | |
| APL | Approved Products List | SUT | System Under Test |
| IO | Interoperability | TIC | Technology Integration Center |
| JITC | Joint Interoperability Test Command | UC | Unified Capabilities |
| JUNOS | Juniper Operating System | USAISEC | U.S. Army Information Systems Engineering Command |
| OS | Operating System | | |

Table 2. SUT Interface Interoperability Status

| Interface | Applicability | | | UCR 2008, Change 3 Reference | Threshold CR/FR ¹ | Status | Remarks |
|-------------|---------------|---|----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | Co | D | A | | | | |
| Serial | C | C | C | 5.3.1.3.9 | 1-4 | Not Certified ⁵ | N/A |
| 10Base-X | C | C | C ² | 5.3.1.3.1 | 1-6 | Certified ³ | The SUT met CRs and FRs with the following IEEE standard: 802.3i (10BaseT). |
| 100Base-X | R | R | C ² | 5.3.1.3.1 | 1-6 | Certified | The SUT met CRs and FRs with the following IEEE standard: 802.3u (100BaseT). |
| 1000Base-X | R | R | C ² | 5.3.1.3.1 | 1-6 | Certified | The SUT met CR and FRs with the following IEEE standards: 802.3ab (1000BaseT), 802.3z (1000Base-SX, 1000Base-LX). |
| 10000Base-X | C | C | C | 5.3.1.3.1 | 1-6 | Certified | The SUT met CRs and FRs with the following IEEE standard: 802.3ae (10GBase-SR, 10GBase-LR). |
| 802.11a | C | C | C | 5.3.1.3.1/5.3.1.7.2 | 1-6 | Not Supported ⁴ | N/A |
| 802.11b | C | C | C | 5.3.1.3.1/5.3.1.7.2 | 1-6 | Not Supported ⁴ | N/A |
| 802.11g | C | C | C | 5.3.1.3.1/5.3.1.7.2 | 1-6 | Not Supported ⁴ | N/A |
| 802.11n | C | C | C | 5.3.1.3.1/5.3.1.7.2 | 1-6 | Not Supported ⁴ | N/A |
| 802.16 | C | C | C | 5.3.1.3.1/5.3.1.7.2 | 1-6 | Not Supported ⁴ | N/A |

NOTES:

1. The SUT high-level CR and FR ID numbers depicted in the Threshold CRs/FRs column can be cross-referenced in Table 3. These high-level CR/FR requirements refer to a detailed list of requirements provided in Enclosure 3.
2. Core and Distribution products must minimally support 100Base-X (802.3u) and 1000Base-X (802.3z). Access products must minimally support one of the following standards: 802.3i (10BaseT), 802.3j (10BaseF), 802.3u (100BaseT/F), 802.3z (1000BaseF), or 802.3ab (1000BaseT). Other rates and standards may be provided as conditional interfaces.
3. The USAISEC TIC tested all these interfaces with the exception of the 10BaseT interface. JITC analysis determined that the 10BaseT interface is a low risk for certification based on the vendor's LoC to the IEEE 802.3i and the testing data collected at all other data rates.
4. The SUT does not support this interface, the interface was not tested, therefore, is not certified for use.
5. The SUT does support this interface for Command Line Interface (CLI) connectivity during initial setup only. This interface was not tested and is not certified for UC connectivity use.

Table 2. SUT Interface Interoperability Status (continued)

| | | | |
|----------------|---|---------|---|
| LEGEND: | | | |
| 802.3ab | 1000BaseT Gbps Ethernet Over Twisted Pair at 1Gbps (125 Mbps) | A | Access |
| | | C | Conditional |
| 802.3ae | 10 Gbps Ethernet | Co | Core |
| 802.3i | 10BaseT Mbps Over Twisted Pair | CR | Capability Requirement |
| 802.3j | 10 Mbps Over Fiber | D | Distribution |
| 802.3u | Standard for Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection at 100 Mbps | EIA | Electronic Industries Alliance |
| 802.3z | Gigabit Ethernet Standard | EIA-232 | Standard for Defining the Mechanical and Electrical Characteristics for Connecting Data Terminal Equipment (DTE) and Data Circuit-Terminating Equipment (DCE) |
| 802.11/16 | IEEE Wireless Standards | | Data Communications Devices |
| 10BaseF | 10 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | FR | Functional Requirement |
| 10BaseT | 10 Mbps (Baseband Operation, Twisted Pair) Ethernet | Gbps | Gigabits Per Second |
| 10Base-X | 10 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber or Copper | ID | Identification |
| 100BaseF | 100 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | IEEE | Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers |
| 100BaseT | 100 Mbps (Baseband Operation, Twisted Pair) Ethernet | JITC | Joint Interoperability Test Command |
| 100Base-X | 100 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber or Copper | LoC | Letter of Compliance |
| 1000BaseF | 1000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | LR | Long Range Optics |
| 1000Base-LX | 1000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | LX | Single-Mode Fiber Optics |
| 1000Base-SX | 1000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | Mbps | Megabits Per Second |
| 1000BaseT | 1000 Mbps (Baseband Operation, Twisted Pair) Ethernet | N/A | Not Applicable |
| 1000Base-X | 1000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber or Copper | R | Required |
| 10000Base-X | 10000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber or Copper | SR | Short Range Optics |
| 10GBase-LR | 10000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | SX | Multi-Mode Fiber Optics |
| 10GBase-SR | 10000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | SUT | System Under Test |
| | | TIC | Technology Integration Center |
| | | UCR | Unified Capabilities Requirements |
| | | USAISEC | U.S. Army Information Systems Engineering Command |

Table 3. SUT CRs and FRs Status

| CR/FR ID | Capability/Function | Applicability ¹ | UCR 2008, Change 3 Reference | Status | Remarks |
|----------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| 1 | General Performance Parameters | | | | |
| | Performance Parameters | Required | 5.3.1.3 | Met | |
| | Port Interface Rates | Required | 5.3.1.3.1 | Met | |
| | Port Parameter Requirements | Required | 5.3.1.3.2 | Met | |
| | Class of Service Markings | Required | 5.3.1.3.3 | Met | |
| | VLAN Capabilities | Required | 5.3.1.3.4 | Met | |
| | Protocols | Required | 5.3.1.3.5 | Partially Met ² | |
| | QoS Features | Required | 5.3.1.3.6 | Met | |
| | Network Monitoring | Required | 5.3.1.3.7 | Met | |
| Security | Required | 5.3.1.3.8 | Met | | |
| 2 | E2E Performance Requirements | | | | |
| | Voice Services | Required | 5.3.1.4.1 | Met ³ | |
| | Video Services | Required | 5.3.1.4.2 | Met ³ | |
| | Data Services | Required | 5.3.1.4.3 | Met ³ | |
| 3 | NM Requirements | | | | |
| | Configuration Control | Required | 5.3.1.6.1 | Met | |
| | Operational Changes | Required | 5.3.1.6.2 | Met | |
| | Performance Monitoring | Required | 5.3.1.6.3 | Met | |
| | Alarms | Required | 5.3.1.6.4 | Met | |
| | Reporting | Required | 5.3.1.6.5 | Met | |
| 4 | Engineering Requirements | | | | |
| | Physical Media | Required | 5.3.1.7.1 | Met ⁴ | |
| | Wireless | Conditional | 5.3.1.7.2 | Not Tested ⁵ | |
| | Traffic Engineering | Required | 5.3.1.7.3 | Met ⁴ | |
| | Availability | Required | 5.3.1.7.6 | Met ⁴ | |
| | Redundancy | Required | 5.3.1.7.7 | Met ⁴ | |
| 5 | MPLS | | | | |
| | MPLS Requirements | Conditional | 5.3.1.8.4.1 | Not Tested ⁵ | |
| | MPLS VPN Augmentation to VLANs | Conditional | 5.3.1.8.4.2 | Not Tested ⁵ | |
| 6 | IPv6 Requirements | | | | |
| | Product Requirements | Required | 5.3.5.4 | Partially Met ² | |

Table 3. SUT CRs and FRs Status (continued)


| | | | |
|---|--|---------|------------------------------------|
| NOTES: | | | |
| 1. The annotation of “required” refers to a high-level requirement category. The applicability of each sub-requirement is provided in Enclosure 3. The SUT does not need to provide conditional requirements. However, if a capability is provided, it must function according to the specified requirements. | | | |
| 2. The SUT does not comply with the following protocols: | | | |
| a. | RFC5798: Partial Comply - Virtual Router Redundancy Protocol (VRRP) Version 3 for IPv4 and IPv6. DISA has adjudicated this discrepancy as having a minor operation impact and approved the vendor’s POA&M. | | |
| b. | Paragraph 5.3.5.4.14 section 56: Partial Comply - To support ASLAN assured services, all LAN switches that provide Layer 3 functionality to the access layer shall support Virtual Router Redundancy protocol (VRRP) for IPv6 as detailed in RFC 5798. DISA has adjudicated this discrepancy as having a minor operation impact and approved the vendor’s POA&M. | | |
| c. | RFC 2737: Partial Comply - MIB (Entity). DISA adjudicated this as a minor impact with no POAM required. | | |
| d. | RFC 4502: Partial Comply - RMON MIB. DISA adjudicated this as a minor impact with no POAM required. | | |
| e. | RFC 4750: Partial Comply - MIB (OSPF V2). DISA has adjudicated this discrepancy as having a minor operation impact and approved the vendor’s POA&M. | | |
| 3. This requirement was verified and met using simulated voice, video, and data traffic in an operational emulated environment to meet E2E requirements. The SUT must be deployed IAW deployment guide and engineering guidelines in UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.4. | | | |
| 4. This requirement was met with the following stipulations: It is the site’s responsibility to configure the SUT in a manner which meets the engineering requirements listed in Enclosure 2, Section 11.2 d, and that it does not create a single point of failure which could impact more than 96 C2 users. | | | |
| 5. Wireless and MPLS requirements are conditional, were not tested, and, therefore, are not certified for use. | | | |
| LEGEND: | | | |
| ASLAN | Assured Services Local Area Network | MPLS | Multiprotocol Label Switching |
| C2 | Command and Control | NM | Network Management |
| CE | Customer Edge | OCSP | Online Certificate Status Protocol |
| CR | Capability Requirement | OSPF V2 | Open Shortest Path First Version 2 |
| DISA | Defense Information Systems Agency | PKI | Public Key Infrastructure |
| E2E | End-to-End | POA&M | Plan of Action and Milestones |
| FR | Functional Requirement | QoS | Quality of Service |
| IAW | In Accordance With | RFC | Request For Comment |
| ID | Identification | RMON | Remote Monitoring |
| IPv4 | Internet Protocol Version 4 | SUT | System Under Test |
| IPv6 | Internet Protocol Version 6 | UCR | Unified Capabilities Requirements |
| LAN | Local Area Network | VLAN | Virtual Local Area Network |
| MIB | Management Information Base | VPN | Virtual Private Network |

5. In accordance with the Program Manager’s request, no detailed test report was developed. JITC distributes IO information via the JITC Electronic Report Distribution (ERD) system, which uses Unclassified-But-Sensitive Internet Protocol Router Network (NIPRNet) e-mail. More comprehensive IO status information is available via the JITC System Tracking Program (STP), which STP is accessible by .mil/.gov users on the NIPRNet at <https://stp.fhu.disa.mil>. Test reports, lessons learned, and related testing documents and references are on the JITC Joint Interoperability Tool (JIT) NIPRNet at <http://jit.fhu.disa.mil>. Information related to DISN testing is on the Telecom Switched Services Interoperability (TSSI) website at <http://jitc.fhu.disa.mil/tssi>. All associated data is available on the DISA Unified Capability Coordination Office (UCCO) website located at <http://www.disa.mil/ucco/>. Due to the sensitivity of the information, the Information Assurance Accreditation Package (IAAP) that contains the approved configuration and deployment guide must be requested directly from U.S. Government civilian or uniformed military personnel at the UCCO; e-mail: disa.meade.ns.list.unified-capabilities-certification-office@mail.mil.

JITC Memo, JTE, Special Interoperability Test Certification of the Juniper EX 4200 Virtual Chassis series Switch with release 12.1

6. The testing point of contact Mr. James Hatch, DSN 821-2860, commercial (520) 533-2860, or email to james.d.hatch12.civ@mail.mil. The JITC point of contact is Ms. Anita Mananquil, DSN 879-5164, commercial (520) 538-5164, FAX DSN 879-4347, commercial (520) 538-4347, or e-mail to anita.l.mananquil.civ@mail.mil. JITC's mailing address is P.O. Box 12798, Fort Huachuca, AZ 85670-2798. The Tracking Number for the SUT is 1212801.

FOR THE COMMANDER:



for BRADLEY A. CLARK
Acting Chief
Battlespace Communications Portfolio

3 Enclosures a/s

DISTRIBUTION (electronic mail):

DoD CIO
Joint Staff J-6, JCS
USD(AT&L)
ISG Secretariat, DISA, JTA
U.S. Strategic Command, J665
US Navy, OPNAV N2/N6FP12
US Army, DA-OSA, CIO/G-6 ASA(ALT), SAIS-IOQ
US Air Force, A3CNN/A6CNN
US Marine Corps, MARCORSYSCOM, SIAT, A&CE Division
US Coast Guard, CG-64
DISA/TEMC
DIA, Office of the Acquisition Executive
NSG Interoperability Assessment Team
DOT&E, Netcentric Systems and Naval Warfare
Medical Health Systems, JMIS IV&V
Defense Information Systems Agency, NS23

ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

- (c) Office of the DoD Chief Information Officer, "Department of Defense Unified Capabilities Requirements 2008, Change 3," September 2011.
- (d) Joint Interoperability Test Command, "ASLAN Component Test Plan (UCTP)," February 2012.
- (e) U.S. Army Information Systems Engineering Command, Technology Integration Center (USAISEC TIC), "Information Assurance (IA) Assessment of Juniper EX4200 Series (Tracking Number 1212801)," 9 November 2012.

This page intentionally left blank.

CERTIFICATION TESTING SUMMARY

1. SYSTEM TITLE. Juniper Networks EX4200 series Switch with Release 12.1

2. SPONSOR. Program Manager (PM) Installation Information Infrastructure Modernization Program (I3MP), point of contact (POC): Mr. Jordan Silk, United States Army Information Systems Engineering Command, Technology Integration Center (USAISEC TIC), Building 53302, Fort Huachuca, Arizona 85613; e-mail: jordan.r.silk.civ@mail.mil.

3. SYSTEM POC. Juniper Networks UC APL Certification Team, 2251 Corporate Park Drive Suite 100, Herndon, VA 20171, e-mail: uc-apl@juniper.net, website: www.juniper.net.

4. TESTER. USAISEC TIC, Fort Huachuca, Arizona.

5. SYSTEM DESCRIPTION. The Juniper Networks EX4200 series Switch with Release 12.1 is hereinafter referred to as System Under Test (SUT). The SUT is used to transport voice signaling and media as part of an overall Voice over Internet Protocol (VoIP) system. The SUT provides availability, security, and Quality of Service (QoS) to meet the operational requirements of the network and Assured Services for the Warfighter. The SUT is certified as a Core, Distribution, and Layer 2/Layer 3 Access switch and is interoperable for joint use with other Assured Services Local Area Network (ASLAN) components listed on the Unified Capabilities (UC) Approved Products List (APL) with the following interfaces: 10/100/1000BaseT and 100/1000BaseX for access; and 1000BaseT and 1000/10000BaseX for uplink. All of these interfaces were tested with the exception of the 10BaseT interface. Joint Interoperability Test Command (JITC) analysis determined that the 10BaseT interface is a low risk for certification based on the vendor's Letter of Compliance (LoC) to comply with the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE) 802.3i standard and the testing data collected at all other data rates. The Juniper Networks switches listed in Table 2-4 all employ the same software and similar hardware as the SUT. JITC analysis determined these systems to be functionally identical to the SUT for IO certification purposes, and they are also certified for joint use..

6. OPERATIONAL ARCHITECTURE. Figure 2-1 depicts an ASLAN notional operational architecture in which the SUT may be used. The SUT is certified to support Assured Services within an ASLAN. If a component meets the minimum requirements for deployment in an ASLAN, it also meets the lesser requirements for deployment in a non-ASLAN. Non-ASLANs are "commercial grade" and provide support to Command and Control (C2) (ROUTINE calls only) (C2(R)) or non-C2 voice subscribers. When deployed in a non-ASLAN, the SUT may also be used to receive all levels of precedence, but is limited to supporting calls that are originated at ROUTINE precedence only. Non-ASLANs do not meet the availability or redundancy

requirements for C2 or Special C2 users, and therefore, are not authorized to support precedence calls originated above ROUTINE.




Figure 2-1. DISN UC Notional Operational Architecture

7. INTEROPERABILITY REQUIREMENTS. The interface, Capability Requirements (CR) and Functional Requirements (FR), Information Assurance (IA), and other

requirements for ASLAN infrastructure products are established by Section 5.3.1 of Reference (c).

7.1 Interfaces. Table 2-1 depicts the physical ASLAN Product interfaces and the associated standards.

Table 2-1. ASLAN Products Interface Requirements

| Interface | UCR 2008, Change 3 Reference | Criteria ¹ | Applicability | | |
|--------------------------|------------------------------|--|---------------|---|---|
| | | | Co | D | A |
| Serial | 5.3.1.3.9 | Support minimum threshold CRs/FRs 1-4 and meet interface criteria for applicable EIA/TIA standard. | C | C | C |
| 10Base-X ² | 5.3.1.3.1 | Support minimum threshold CRs/FRs 1-6 and meet interface criteria for IEEE 802.3i or 802.3j. | C | C | C |
| 100Base-X ² | 5.3.1.3.1 | Support minimum threshold CRs/FRs 1-6 and meet interface criteria for IEEE 802.3.u. | R | R | C |
| 1000Base-X ² | 5.3.1.3.1 | Support minimum threshold CRs/FRs 1-6 and meet interface criteria for IEEE 802.3z, or 802.3ab. | R | R | C |
| 10000Base-X ² | 5.3.1.3.1 | Support minimum threshold CRs/FRs 1-6 and meet interface criteria for IEEE 802.3ae. | C | C | C |
| 802.11a | 5.3.1.3.1 and 5.3.1.7.2 | 1-6 | C | C | C |
| 802.11b | 5.3.1.3.1 and 5.3.1.7.2 | 1-6 | C | C | C |
| 802.11g | 5.3.1.3.1 and 5.3.1.7.2 | 1-6 | C | C | C |
| 802.11n | 5.3.1.3.1 and 5.3.1.7.2 | 1-6 | C | C | C |
| 802.16 | 5.3.1.3.1 and 5.3.1.7.2 | 1-6 | C | C | C |

NOTES:
1. The SUT high-level CR and FR ID numbers depicted in the Threshold CRs/FRs column can be cross-referenced in Table 2-2. These high-level CR/FR requirements refer to a detailed list of requirements provided in Enclosure 3.
2. Core and Distribution products must minimally support the following standards: 802.3u (100Base-X) and 802.3z (1000Base-X). Access products must minimally support one of the following standards: 802.3i (10BaseT), 802.3j (10BaseF), 802.3u (100BaseTX/FX), 802.3z (1000Base-X), or 802.3ab (1000BaseT). Other rates and standards may be provided as conditional interfaces.

Table 2-1. ASLAN Products Interface Requirements (continued)

| LEGEND: | | | |
|----------------|---|---------|---|
| 802.3ab | 1000BaseT Gbps Ethernet Over Twisted Pair at 1 Gbps (125 Mbps) | A | Access |
| | | ASLAN | Assured Services Local Area Network |
| 802.3ae | 10 Gbps Ethernet | C | Conditional |
| 802.3i | 10BaseT Mbps Over Twisted Pair | Co | Core |
| 802.3j | 10 Mbps Over Fiber | CR | Capability Requirement |
| 802.3u | Standard for Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection at 100 Mbps | D | Distribution |
| | | EIA/TIA | Electronics Industries Alliance/ Telecommunications Industry Association |
| 802.3z | Gigabit Ethernet Standard | FR | Functional Requirement |
| 802.11/16 | IEEE Wireless Standards | Gbps | Gigabits Per Second |
| 10BaseF | 10 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | ID | Identification |
| 10BaseT | 10 Mbps (Baseband Operation, Twisted Pair) Ethernet | IEEE | Institute of Electrical and Electronic Engineers |
| 10BaseX | 10 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber or Copper | Mbps | Megabits Per Second |
| 100BaseFX | 100 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | R | Required |
| 100BaseTX | 100 Mbps (Baseband Operation, Twisted Pair) Ethernet | SUT | System Under Test |
| 100Base-X | 100 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber or Copper | UCR | Unified Capabilities Requirements |
| 1000BaseFX | 1000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | | |
| 1000BaseT | 1000 Mbps (Baseband Operation, Twisted Pair) Ethernet | | |
| 1000Base-X | 1000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber or Copper | | |
| 10000Base-X | 10000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber or Copper | | |

7.2 CR and FR. Switches have required and conditional features and capabilities that are established by Section 5.3.1 of the Unified Capabilities Requirements (UCR) 2008, Change 3, Reference (c). The SUT does not need to provide non-critical (conditional) requirements. If they are provided, they must function according to the specified requirements. The SUTs' features and capabilities and its aggregated requirements in accordance with (IAW) the ASLAN requirements are listed in Table 2-2. Detailed CR/FR requirements are provided in Table 3-1 of Enclosure 3.

Table 2-2. ASLAN CRs and FRs

| CR/FR ID | Capability/Function | Applicability ¹ | UCR 2008, Change 3 Reference | Remarks |
|----------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 1 | General Performance Parameters | | | |
| | Performance Parameters | Required | 5.3.1.3 | |
| | Port Interface Rates | Required | 5.3.1.3.1 | |
| | Port Parameter Requirements | Required | 5.3.1.3.2 | |
| | Class of Service Markings | Required | 5.3.1.3.3 | |
| | VLAN Capabilities | Required | 5.3.1.3.4 | |
| | Protocols | Required | 5.3.1.3.5 | |
| | QoS Features | Required | 5.3.1.3.6 | |
| | Network Monitoring | Required | 5.3.1.3.7 | |
| | Security | Required | 5.3.1.3.8 ² | |
| 2 | E2E Performance Requirements | | | |
| | Voice Services | Required | 5.3.1.4.1 | |
| | Video Services | Required | 5.3.1.4.2 | |
| | Data Services | Required | 5.3.1.4.3 | |
| 3 | NM Requirements | | | |
| | Configuration Control | Required | 5.3.1.6.1 | |
| | Operational Changes | Required | 5.3.1.6.2 | |
| | Performance Monitoring | Required | 5.3.1.6.3 | |
| | Alarms | Required | 5.3.1.6.4 | |
| | Reporting | Required | 5.3.1.6.5 | |
| 4 | Engineering Requirements | | | |
| | Physical Media | Required | 5.3.1.7.1 | Site requirement |
| | Wireless | Conditional | 5.3.1.7.2 | |
| | Traffic Engineering | Required | 5.3.1.7.3 | Site requirement |
| | Availability | Required | 5.3.1.7.6 | Partially driven by topology |
| | Redundancy | Required | 5.3.1.7.7 | |
| 5 | MPLS | | | |
| | MPLS Requirements | Conditional | 5.3.1.8.4.1 | |
| | MPLS VPN Augmentation to VLANs | Conditional | 5.3.1.8.4.2 | |
| 6 | IPv6 Requirements | | | |
| Product Requirements | Required | 5.3.5.4 | | |

Table 2-2. ASLAN CRs and FRs (continued)

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------------|------|-----------------------------------|
| NOTES: | | | |
| 1. The annotation of “required” refers to a high-level requirement category. The applicability of each sub-requirement is provided in Enclosure 3. The SUT does not need to provide conditional requirements. However, if a capability is provided, it must function according to the specified requirements. | | | |
| 2. Refers to IA requirements for UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.4. Detailed IA requirements are included in Reference (e). | | | |
| LEGEND: | | | |
| ASLAN | Assured Services Local Area Network | MPLS | Multiprotocol Label Switching |
| CR | Capability Requirement | NM | Network Management |
| E2E | End-to-End | QoS | Quality of Service |
| FR | Functional Requirement | SUT | System Under Test |
| IA | Information Assurance | UCR | Unified Capabilities Requirements |
| ID | Identification | VLAN | Virtual Local Area Network |
| IPv6 | Internet Protocol Version 6 | VPN | Virtual Private Network |


7.3 Information Assurance. Table 2-3 details the IA requirements applicable to the ASLAN products.

Table 2-3. ASLAN Products IA Requirements

| Requirement | Applicability (See note.) | UCR 2008, Change 3 Reference | Criteria |
|---|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---|
| General Requirements | Required | 5.4.6.2 | Detailed requirements and associated criteria for ASLAN products are listed in Reference (c) Section 5.4. |
| Authentication | Required | 5.4.6.2.1 | |
| Integrity | Required | 5.4.6.2.2 | |
| Confidentiality | Required | 5.4.6.2.3 | |
| Non-Repudiation | Required | 5.4.6.2.4 | |
| Availability | Required | 5.4.6.2.5 | |
| NOTE: The annotation of “required” refers to a high-level requirement category. Refers to IA requirements for UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.4. | | | |
| LEGEND: | | | |
| ASLAN | Assured Services Local Area Network | UCR | Unified Capabilities Requirements |
| IA | Information Assurance | | |

7.4 Other. None

8. TEST NETWORK DESCRIPTION. The SUT was tested at the USAISEC TIC, a Department of Defense (DoD) component test lab, in a manner and configuration similar to that of a notional operational environment. The UCR 2008, Change 3, operational Defense Information System Network (DISN) Architecture is depicted in Figure 2-2, which depicts the relationship of the ASLAN and non-ASLAN to the DISN switch types. Testing the system’s required functions and features was conducted using the test configurations depicted in Figure 2-3. Figure 2-3 depicts the ASLAN components in a heterogeneous configuration with Brocade and Enterasys ASLAN components. The SUT was not tested in a homogenous network.



LEGEND:

- | | | | |
|-------|-------------------------------------|---------|---|
| 4W | 4-Wire | NATO | North Atlantic Treaty Organization |
| ASLAN | Assured Services Local Area Network | PBX | Private Branch Exchange |
| BRI | Basic Rate Interface | PBX 1 | Private Branch Exchange 1 |
| CB | Channel Bank | PBX 2 | Private Branch Exchange 2 |
| COI | Community of Interest | PSTN | Public Switched Telephone Network |
| CSN | Canadian Switch Network | RSU | Remote Switching Unit |
| DISN | Defense Information System Network | SA | Systems Administrator |
| DRSN | Defense Red Switch Network | SMEO | Small End Office |
| DVX | Deployable Voice Exchange | SMU | Switched Multiplex Unit |
| EMSS | Enhanced Mobile Satellite System | STEP | Standardized Tactical Entry Point |
| EO | End Office | SUT | System Under Test |
| IAS | Integrated Access Switch | Tri-Tac | Tri-Service Tactical Communications Program |
| ISDN | Integrated Services Digital Network | TS | Tandem Switch |
| IST | Interswitch Trunk | VoIP | Voice over Internet Protocol |
| MFS | Multifunction Switch | VTC | Video Teleconferencing |

Figure 2-2. DISN Architecture




Figure 2-3. Heterogeneous Test Configuration with Brocade and Enterasys

9. SYSTEM CONFIGURATIONS. Table 2-4 provides the system configuration, hardware, and software components tested with the SUT. The SUT was tested in an operationally realistic environment to determine its IO capability with associated network devices and network traffic.

Table 2-4. Tested System Configurations

| System Name | | | Release | |
|--------------------------|---------------|----------------------------|---|--|
| Brocade NetIron XMR 4000 | | | 5.3 | |
| Enterasys S4 | | | 7.41 | |
| Enterasys SSA | | | 7.41 | |
| SUT ¹ | Release | Function | Sub-component ^{1,2} | Description |
| EX4200-24F | JUNOS OS 12.1 | Core, Distribution, Access | EX-UM-2X4SFP EX-UM-2XFP EX-UM-4SFP | <u>24-port 1000ZBaseX SFP 3220W AC PS</u> |
| EX4200-24F-DC | | | | 24-port 1000BaseX SFP 190W DC PS |
| EX4200-24P | | | | 24-port 10/100/1000BaseT PoE 600W AC PS |
| EX4200-24PX | | | | 24-port 10/100/1000BaseT PoE+ 930W AC PS |
| EX4200-24T | | | | 24-port 10/100/1000BaseT (8-ports PoE) 320W AC PS |
| EX4200-24T-DC | | | | 24-port 10/100/1000BaseT 190W DC PS |
| EX4200-48P | | | | 48-port 10/100/1000BaseT PoE 930W AC PS |
| EX4200-48PX | | | | <u>48-port 10/100/1000BaseT PoE+ 930W AC PS</u> |
| EX4200-48T | | | | 48-port 10/100/1000BaseT (8-ports PoE) 320W AC PS |
| EX4200-48T-DC | | | | 48-port 10/100/1000BaseT 190W DC PS |
| EX4200-24F-DC-TAA | | | | 24-port 1000BaseX SFP 190W DC PS |
| EX4200-24F-TAA | | | | 24-port 1000BaseX SFP 190W DC PS |
| EX4200-24P-TAA | | | | 24-port 10/100/1000BaseT PoE 600W AC PS |
| EX4200-24T-TAA | | | | 24-port 10/100/1000BaseT (8-ports PoE) 320W AC PS |
| EX4200-48P-TAA | | | | 48-port 10/100/1000BaseT PoE 930W AC PS |
| EX4200-48T-TAA | | | | 48-port 10/100/1000BaseT (8-ports PoE) 320W AC PS |
| EX4200-24PX-TAA | | | | 24-port 10/100/1000BaseT PoE+ 930W AC PS |
| EX4200-48PX-TAA | | | | 48-port 10/100/1000BaseT PoE+ 930W AC PS |

Table 2-4. Tested System Configurations (continued)

NOTES:

1. Components **bolded and underlined** were tested by the USAISEC TIC. The other components in the family series were not tested; however, they utilize the same OS software and similar hardware as the SUT. JITC analysis determined them to be functionally identical for IO certification purposes. As such, they are also certified for joint use.
2. Listed uplink modules supported in all EX4200 modules
 - **EX-UM-2X4SFP**: EX4200 2-Port 10G SFP+ / 4-port 1G SFP+ Uplink Module (optics sold separately)
 - **EX-UM-2XFP**: EX 4200 2-Port 10G XFP Uplink Module (optics sold separately)
 - **EX-UM-4SFP**: EX 4200 4-Port 1G SFP Uplink Module (optics sold separately)

LEGEND:

| | | | |
|------------|-------------------------------------|---------|---|
| 10GBASE-T | 10000 Mbps Ethernet Over Copper | OS | Operating System |
| 100Base-X | 100 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | PoE | Power Over Ethernet |
| 1000Base-X | 1000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | SFP | Small Form Factor Pluggable |
| IO | Interoperability | SUT | System Under Test |
| OS | Operating System | TDR | Time-Domain Reflectometer |
| JITC | Joint Interoperability Test Command | TIC | Technology Integration Center |
| JUNOS | Juniper Operating System | USAISEC | U.S. Army Information Systems Engineering Command |

10. TESTING LIMITATIONS. None.

11. INTEROPERABILITY EVALUATION RESULTS. The SUT meets the critical IO requirements for a Core, Distribution, and Layer 2/Layer 3 Access switch IAW with UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1, and is certified for joint use with other network infrastructure products listed on the UC APL. Additional discussion regarding specific testing results is located in subsequent paragraphs.

11.1 Interfaces. The interface status of the SUT is provided in Table 2-5.

Table 2-5. SUT Interface Requirements Status

| Interface | Applicability | | | UCR 2008, Change 3 Reference | Threshold CR/FR ¹ | Status | Remarks |
|-------------|---------------|---|----------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---|
| | Co | D | A | | | | |
| Serial | C | C | C | 5.3.1.3.9 | 1-4 | Not Certified ⁵ | N/A |
| 10Base-X | C | C | C ² | 5.3.1.3.1 | 1-6 | Certified ³ | The SUT met CRs and FRs with the following IEEE standard: 802.3i (10BaseT). |
| 100Base-X | R | R | C ² | 5.3.1.3.1 | 1-6 | Certified | The SUT met CRs and FRs with the following IEEE standard: 802.3u (100BaseT). |
| 1000Base-X | R | R | C ² | 5.3.1.3.1 | 1-6 | Certified | The SUT met CR and FRs with the following IEEE standards: 802.3ab (1000BaseT), 802.3z (1000Base-SX, 1000Base-LX). |
| 10000Base-X | C | C | C | 5.3.1.3.1 | 1-6 | Certified | The SUT met CRs and FRs with the following IEEE standard: 802.3ae (10GBase-SR, 10GBase-LR). |
| 802.11a | C | C | C | 5.3.1.3.1/5.3.1.7.2 | 1-6 | Not Supported ⁴ | N/A |
| 802.11b | C | C | C | 5.3.1.3.1/5.3.1.7.2 | 1-6 | Not Supported ⁴ | N/A |
| 802.11g | C | C | C | 5.3.1.3.1/5.3.1.7.2 | 1-6 | Not Supported ⁴ | N/A |
| 802.11n | C | C | C | 5.3.1.3.1/5.3.1.7.2 | 1-6 | Not Supported ⁴ | N/A |
| 802.16 | C | C | C | 5.3.1.3.1/5.3.1.7.2 | 1-6 | Not Supported ⁴ | N/A |

Table 2-5. SUT Interface Requirements Status (continued)

NOTES:

1. The SUT high-level CR and FR ID numbers depicted in the Threshold CRs/FRs column can be cross-referenced in Table 3. These high-level CR/FR requirements refer to a detailed list of requirements provided in Enclosure 3.
2. Core and Distribution products must minimally support 100Base-X (802.3u) and 1000Base-X (802.3z). Access products must minimally support one of the following standards: 802.3i (10BaseT), 802.3j (10BaseF), 802.3u (100BaseT/F), 802.3z (1000BaseF), or 802.3ab (1000BaseT). Other rates and standards may be provided as conditional interfaces.
3. The USAISEC TIC tested all these interfaces with the exception of the 10BaseT interface. JITC analysis determined that the 10BaseT interface is a low risk for certification based on the vendor's LoC to the IEEE 802.3i and the testing data collected at all other data rates.
4. The SUT does not support this interface, the interface was not tested, therefore, is not certified for use.
5. The SUT does support this interface for Command Line Interface (CLI) connectivity during initial setup only. This interface was not tested and is not certified for UC connectivity use.

LEGEND:

| | | | |
|-------------|---|---------|---|
| 802.3ab | 1000BaseT Gbps Ethernet Over Twisted Pair at 1 Gbps (125 Mbps) | A | Access |
| | | C | Conditional |
| 802.3ae | 10 Gbps Ethernet | Co | Core |
| 802.3i | 10BaseT Mbps Over Twisted Pair | CR | Capability Requirement |
| 802.3j | 10 Mbps Over Fiber | D | Distribution |
| 802.3u | Standard for Carrier Sense Multiple Access with Collision Detection at 100 Mbps | EIA | Electronic Industries Alliance |
| | | EIA-232 | Standard for Defining the Mechanical and Electrical Characteristics for Connecting Data Terminal Equipment (DTE) and Data Circuit-Terminating Equipment (DCE) Data Communications Devices |
| 802.3z | Gigabit Ethernet Standard | | |
| 802.11/16 | IEEE Wireless Standards | | |
| 10BaseF | 10 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | | |
| 10BaseT | 10 Mbps (Baseband Operation, Twisted Pair) Ethernet | FR | Functional Requirement |
| 10Base-X | 10 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber or Copper | Gbps | Gigabits Per Second |
| 100BaseF | 100 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | ID | Identification |
| 100BaseT | 100 Mbps (Baseband Operation, Twisted Pair) Ethernet | IEEE | Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers |
| | | JITC | Joint Interoperability Test Command |
| 100Base-X | 100 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber or Copper | LoC | Letter of Compliance |
| 1000BaseF | 1000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | LR | Long Range Optics |
| 1000Base-LX | 1000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | LX | Single-Mode Fiber Optics |
| 1000Base-SX | 1000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | Mbps | Megabits per second |
| 1000BaseT | 1000 Mbps (Baseband Operation, Twisted Pair) Ethernet | N/A | Not Applicable |
| | | R | Required |
| 1000Base-X | 1000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber or Copper | SR | Short Range Optics |
| 10000Base-X | 10000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber or Copper | SX | Multi-mode Fiber Optics |
| 10GBase-LR | 10000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | SUT | System Under Test |
| 10GBase-SR | 10000 Mbps Ethernet Over Fiber | TIC | Technology Integration Center |
| | | UCR | Unified Capabilities Requirements |
| | | USAISEC | U.S. Army Information Systems Engineering Command |

11.2 CR and FR. The SUT CR and FR status is depicted in Table 2-6. Detailed CR/FR requirements are provided in Enclosure 3, Table 3-1.

Table 2-6. SUT CRs and FRs Status

| CR/FR ID | Capability/Function | Applicability ¹ | UCR 2008, Change 3 Reference | Status | Remarks |
|----------|---------------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------|---------|
| 1 | General Performance Parameters | | | | |
| | Performance Parameters | Required | 5.3.1.3 | Met | |
| | Port Interface Rates | Required | 5.3.1.3.1 | Met | |
| | Port Parameter Requirements | Required | 5.3.1.3.2 | Met | |
| | Class of Service Markings | Required | 5.3.1.3.3 | Met | |
| | VLAN Capabilities | Required | 5.3.1.3.4 | Met | |
| | Protocols | Required | 5.3.1.3.5 | Partially Met ² | |
| | QoS Features | Required | 5.3.1.3.6 | Met | |
| | Network Monitoring | Required | 5.3.1.3.7 | Met | |
| Security | Required | 5.3.1.3.8 | Met | | |
| 2 | E2E Performance Requirements | | | | |
| | Voice Services | Required | 5.3.1.4.1 | Met ³ | |
| | Video Services | Required | 5.3.1.4.2 | Met ³ | |
| | Data Services | Required | 5.3.1.4.3 | Met ³ | |
| 3 | NM Requirements | | | | |
| | Configuration Control | Required | 5.3.1.6.1 | Met | |
| | Operational Changes | Required | 5.3.1.6.2 | Met | |
| | Performance Monitoring | Required | 5.3.1.6.3 | Met | |
| | Alarms | Required | 5.3.1.6.4 | Met | |
| | Reporting | Required | 5.3.1.6.5 | Met | |
| 4 | Engineering Requirements | | | | |
| | Physical Media | Required | 5.3.1.7.1 | Met ⁴ | |
| | Wireless | Conditional | 5.3.1.7.2 | Not Tested ⁵ | |
| | Traffic Engineering | Required | 5.3.1.7.3 | Met ⁴ | |
| | Availability | Required | 5.3.1.7.6 | Met ⁴ | |
| | Redundancy | Required | 5.3.1.7.7 | Met ⁴ | |
| 5 | MPLS | | | | |
| | MPLS Requirements | Conditional | 5.3.1.8.4.1 | Not Tested ⁵ | |
| | MPLS VPN Augmentation to VLANs | Conditional | 5.3.1.8.4.2 | Not Tested ⁵ | |
| 6 | IPv6 Requirements | | | | |
| | Product Requirements | Required | 5.3.5.4 | Partially Met ² | |

Table 2-6. SUT CRs and FRs Status (continued)

| | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|-------|-----------------------------------|
| NOTES: | | | |
| 1. The annotation of “required” refers to a high-level requirement category. The applicability of each sub-requirement is provided in Enclosure 3. The SUT does not need to provide conditional requirements. However, if a capability is provided, it must function according to the specified requirements. | | | |
| 2. The SUT does not comply with the following protocols: | | | |
| a. RFC5798: Partial Comply – Virtual Router Redundancy Protocol (VRRP) Version 3 for IPv4 and IPv6. DISA has adjudicated this discrepancy as having a minor operation impact and approved the vendor’s POA&M. | | | |
| b. Paragraph 5.3.5.4.14 section 56: Partial Comply - To support ASLAN assured services, all LAN switches that provide Layer 3 functionality to the access layer shall support Virtual Router Redundancy protocol (VRRP) for IPv6 as detailed in RFC 5798. DISA has adjudicated this discrepancy as having a minor operation impact and approved the vendor’s POA&M. | | | |
| c. RFC 2737: Partial Comply - MIB (Entity). DISA adjudicated this as a minor impact with no POAM required. | | | |
| d. RFC 4502: Partial Comply - RMON MIB. DISA adjudicated this as a minor impact with no POAM required. | | | |
| e. RFC 4750: Partial Comply - MIB (OSPF V2). DISA has adjudicated this discrepancy as having a minor operation impact and approved the vendor’s POA&M. | | | |
| 3. This requirement was verified and met using simulated voice, video, and data traffic in an operational emulated environment to meet E2E requirements. The SUT must be deployed IAW deployment guide and engineering guidelines in UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.4. | | | |
| 4. This requirement was met with the following stipulations: It is the site’s responsibility to configure the SUT in a manner which meets the engineering requirements listed in Enclosure 2, Section 11.2 d, and that it does not create a single point of failure which could impact more than 96 C2 users. | | | |
| 5. Wireless and MPLS requirements are conditional, were not tested, and, therefore, are not certified for use. | | | |
| LEGEND: | | | |
| C2 | Command and Control | MPLS | Multiprotocol Label Switching |
| CR | Capability Requirement | NM | Network Management |
| DISA | Defense Information Systems Agency | POA&M | Plan of Action and Milestones |
| E2E | End-to-End | QoS | Quality of Service |
| FR | Functional Requirement | RFC | Request For Comment |
| IAW | In Accordance With | SUT | System Under Test |
| ID | Identification | UCR | Unified Capabilities Requirements |
| IPv4 | Internet Protocol Version 4 | VLAN | Virtual Local Area Network |
| IPv6 | Internet Protocol Version 6 | VPN | Virtual Private Network |

a. General Performance Parameters: Internet Protocol Version 4 (IPv4) and Internet Protocol Version 6 (IPv6).

(1) Performance Parameters IAW UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.3. The SUT met the performance parameters depicted in the UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.3, with both testing and vendor’s LoC. The SUT operated in the required 50 percent non-blocking mode on all interfaces for all Core and Distribution layers.

(2) Port Interface Rates. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.3.1, states that Core and Distribution products shall minimally support 100 Megabits per second (Mbps) IAW IEEE 802.3u and 1 Gigabit per second (Gbps) IAW with IEEE 802.3z. Access products must minimally provide one of the following interface rates: 10 Mbps IAW IEEE 802.3i and j, 100 Mbps IAW IEEE 802.3u, and 1000 Mbps IAW IEEE 802.3z and 802.3ab. The SUT is certified as interoperable for joint use with other ASLAN components listed on the UC APL with the following interfaces: 10/100/1000BaseT and 100/1000BaseX for access; and 10GBaseX for uplink. All of these interfaces were tested with the exception of the 10BaseT interface. JITC analysis determined that the 10BaseT interface is a low risk for certification based on the vendor’s LoC to comply

with the IEEE 802.3i standard and the testing data collected at all other data rates. All the SUT interfaces linked up at the required rates and negotiated for the correct rates, which met this requirement.

(3) Port Parameter Requirements. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.3.2, states that Core, Distribution, and Access products shall provide the following parameters on a per port basis: Auto-negotiation IAW IEEE 802.3, Force mode IAW IEEE 802.3, and Filtering IAW Request for Comments (RFC) 1812. Port parameters were configurable, and conformed to the requirements. The vendor met these requirements with testing and the vendor's LoC.

(4) Class of Service (CoS) Markings. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.3.3, states that the SUT shall support Differentiated Services Code Points (DSCPs) for both IPv4 and IPv6 as shown in the sub-paragraphs below. The SUT met these requirements with both testing and the vendor's LoC.

(a) Accept any packet tagged with a DSCP value (0-63) on an ingress port and assign that packet to a QoS behavior.

(b) Accept any packet tagged with a DSCP value (0-63) on an ingress port and reassign that packet to any new DSCP value (0-63).

(c) Support the prioritization of aggregate service classes with queuing according to QoS features.

(5) Virtual Local Area Network (VLAN) Capabilities. The SUT met VLAN capabilities IAW UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.3.4, with testing and the vendor's LoC. The VLAN markings were preserved on the SUT, and VLAN tagged traffic was separated and managed according to IEEE 802.1q. The SUT successfully performed both port-based and address-based VLANs and can assign any VLAN tag any value from 1 through 4094 (0 and 4095 are excluded), which met this requirement.

(6) Protocols. The SUT met all of the protocols IAW UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.3.5, for IPv4 and Section 5.3.5 for IPv6 by testing and the vendor's LoCs with the following exceptions:

(a) RFC5798: Partial Comply - Virtual Router Redundancy Protocol (VRRP) Version 3 for IPv4 and IPv6. DISA has adjudicated this discrepancy as having a minor operation impact and approved the vendor's POA&M.

(b) Paragraph 5.3.5.4.14 section 56: Partial Comply - To support ASLAN assured services, all LAN switches that provide Layer 3 functionality to the access layer shall support Virtual Router Redundancy protocol (VRRP) for IPv6 as detailed in RFC 5798. DISA has adjudicated this discrepancy as having a minor operation impact and approved the vendor's POA&M.

(c) RFC 2737: Partial Comply - MIB (Entity). DISA adjudicated this as a minor impact with no POAM required.

(d) RFC 4502: Partial Comply - RMON MIB. DISA adjudicated this as a minor impact with no POAM required.

(e) RFC 4750: Partial Comply - MIB (OSPF V2). DISA has adjudicated this discrepancy as having a minor operation impact and approved the vendor's POA&M.

(7) QoS Features. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.3.6, states that the Core, Distribution, and Access products shall be capable of providing a minimum of four queues, assign any "tagged" session to any of the queues, support Differentiated Services per hop behaviors and traffic conditioning with an assigned bandwidth percentage per queue, and meet traffic conditioning requirements. The SUT QoS, which includes rate-shaping, performed as configured. All variance was within the limitation of resolution of the test instruments. The test equipment recorded that the higher prioritized traffic was properly queued above lower prioritized best effort traffic; therefore, the SUT met this requirement.

(8) Network Monitoring. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.3.7, states that Core, Distribution, and Access products shall support network monitoring features. Network Monitoring via Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) was evaluated by the USAISEC TIC-led IA test teams and published in a separate report, Reference (e). Based on this evaluation, the SUT met all requirements.

(a) SNMP IAW RFCs 3411, 3412, 3413, 3414, 3415, 3416, and 3417. The SUT met the requirements through the vendor's LoC and testing. The SilverCreek SNMP Test Suite was used to capture SNMP traps.

(b) Remote Monitoring (RMON) IAW RFC 2819. The SUT met this requirement through the vendor's LoC.

(c) Coexistence between Version 1, Version 2, and Version 3 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework IAW RFC 3584. The SUT met this requirement through the vendor's LoC.

(d) Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) Cipher Algorithm in the SNMP User-based Security Model IAW RFC 3826. Security was tested by USAISEC TIC-led IA test teams, and the results were published in a separate report, Reference (e).

(9) Security. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.3.8, states that the Core, Distribution, and Access products shall meet the security protocol requirements listed in UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.4. Security was tested via USAISEC TIC-led IA test teams and published in a separate report, Reference (e).

b. End-to-End (E2E) Performance Parameters. These requirements were verified using simulated voice, video, and data traffic in an emulated operational environment. To meet these requirements, the SUT must be deployed IAW deployment and engineering guidelines provided in UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.4.1. Congested condition is defined as 100 percent of link capacities (as defined by baseline traffic engineering). The general performance was evaluated in a heterogeneous configuration, as depicted in Figure 2-3.

(1) Voice Services

(a) Latency. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.4.1.1, states that latency shall not be more than 6 ms E2E across the ASLAN over any 5-minute measured period under congested conditions. The measured latency for the SUT was 0.032 ms, which met the requirement.

(b) Jitter. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.4.1.2, states that when transporting voice Internet Protocol (IP) packets, the E2E jitter shall not exceed 3 ms over any 5-minute measured period under congested conditions. The measured jitter for the SUT was 0.007 ms, which met the requirement.

(c) Packet Loss. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.4.1.3, states that actual measured packet loss across the local area network (LAN) shall not exceed 0.045 percent within the defined queuing parameters. The packet loss requirement shall be achievable over any 5-minute period measured from ingress sports to egress ports under congested conditions. The measured packet loss for the SUT was 0.00 percent, which met the requirement.

(2) Video Services

(a) Latency. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.4.2.1, states that latency shall not exceed 30 ms E2E across the ASLAN over any 5-minute period measured under congested conditions. The measured latency for the SUT was 0.041 ms, which met the requirement.

(b) Jitter. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.4.2.2, states that when transporting voice IP packets E2E jitter shall not exceed 30 ms over any 5-minute measured period under congested conditions. The measured jitter for the SUT was 0.009 ms, which met the requirement.

(c) Packet Loss. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.4.2.3, states that actual measured packet loss across the LAN shall not exceed 0.15 percent within the defined queuing parameters. The packet loss requirement shall be achievable over any 5-minute period measured from ingress sports to egress ports under congested conditions. The measured packet loss for the SUT was 0.00 percent, which met the requirement.

(3) Data Services

(a) Latency. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.4.3.1, states that latency for prioritized data IP packets shall not exceed 45 ms E2E across the ASLAN over any 5-minute period as measured under congested conditions. The measured latency for the SUT was 0.045 ms for Preferred Data and 0.063 ms for Best Effort Data, which met the requirement.

(b) Jitter. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.4.3.2 states that there are no jitter requirements for preferred data IP packets.

(c) Packet Loss. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.4.3.3, states that actual measured packet loss across the LAN shall not exceed 0.15 percent within the defined queuing parameters. The packet loss requirement shall be achievable over any 5-minute period measured from ingress sports to egress ports under congested conditions. The measured packet loss for the SUT was 0.00 percent for Preferred Data and 0.06 percent for Best Effort Data, which met the requirement.

c. Network Management (NM) Requirements. The NM requirements in the subparagraphs below were met by testing and the vendor's LoC and evaluated by USAISEC TIC-led IA test teams, and the results are published in a separate report, Reference (e).

(1) Configuration Control. IAW UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.6.1, the SUT Network Management System (NMS) shall report configuration change events in near-real-time (NRT). The system shall report the success or failure of authorized configuration change attempts in NRT. NRT is defined as within five seconds of detecting the event, excluding transport time.

(2) Operational Changes. IAW UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.6.2, LAN infrastructure components must provide metrics to the NMS to allow them to make decisions on managing the network. The SUT NMS shall have an automated NM capability to obtain the status of networks and associated assets in NRT 99 percent of the time (with 99.9 percent as an Objective Requirement).

(3) Performance Monitoring. IAW UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.6.3, all LAN components shall be capable of providing status changes 99 percent of the time (with 99.9 percent as an Objective Requirement) by means of an automated capability in NRT. The SUT NMS shall have an automated NM capability to obtain the status of networks and associated assets 99 percent of the time (with 99.9 percent as an Objective Requirement) within five seconds of detecting the event, excluding transport. The NMS shall collect statistics and monitor bandwidth utilization, delay, jitter, and packet loss.

(4) Alarms. IAW UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.6.4, all LAN components shall be capable of providing SNMP alarm indications to an NMS. The SUT NMS shall have the NM capability to perform automated fault management of the network, to include problem detection, fault correction, fault isolation and diagnosis, problem tracking until corrective actions are completed, and historical archiving.

(5) Reporting. IAW UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.6.5, to accomplish Global Information Grid E2E situational awareness, an NMS shall have the NM capability of automatically generating and providing an integrated/correlated presentation of network and all associated networks.

d. Engineering Requirements.

(1) Copper Media. IAW UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.7.1, cabling used for the LAN shall not be lower than a Category 5 performance. This requirement was met with the following stipulations: It is the site's responsibility to configure the SUT in a manner which meets the engineering requirements listed in Enclosure 2, Section 11.2 d, and that it does not create a single point of failure which could impact more than 96 C2 users.

(2) Wireless. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.7.2, states that wireless LAN implementations are considered as extensions of the physical layer. If an ASLAN supports wireless, it must meet all of the applicable requirements of this section. This requirement is conditional, was not tested, and therefore, not certified for use.

(3) Traffic Engineering. IAW UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.7.3, bandwidth in the LAN shall be engineered so Voice IP subscribers do not exceed more than 25 percent of available trunk bandwidth. This requirement was met with the following stipulations: It is the site's responsibility to configure the SUT in a manner which meets the engineering requirements listed in Enclosure 2, Section 11.2 d, and that it does not create a single point of failure which could impact more than 96 C2 users.

(4) Availability. IAW UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.7.6, system reliability must be engineered at 99.999 percent for FLASH/FLASH OVERRIDE users, and 99.997 for IMMEDIATE/PRIORITY users in an ASLAN. ROUTINE users may be supported by a non-ASLAN with a reliability of 99.9 percent. The C2 users may not be supported by a non-ASLAN. This requirement was met with the following stipulations: It is the site's responsibility to configure the SUT in a manner which meets the engineering requirements listed in Enclosure 2, Section 11.2 d, and that it does not create a single point of failure which could impact more than 96 C2 users.

(5) Redundancy. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Sections 5.3.1.2.1, 5.3.1.7.7, 5.3.1.7.7.1, and 5.3.1.7.7.2, state that ASLAN components shall have no single point of failure for more than 96 C2 and Special C2 users. The UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.7.7, states the following redundancy requirements: redundancy can be met if the

product itself provides redundancy internally or a secondary product is added to the ASLAN to provide redundancy to the primary product. Single-product redundancy may be met with a modular chassis that at a minimum provides the following: dual power supplies, dual processors, termination sparing, redundancy protocol, no single point of failure, and switch fabric or backplane redundancy. In the event of a component failure in the network, all active calls shall not be disrupted (loss of existing connection requiring redialing) and the path through the network shall be restored within 5 seconds. If a secondary product has been added to provide redundancy to a primary product, the failover to the secondary product must meet the same requirements. Non-ASLAN components shall have a single point of failure for C2(R) and non-C2 users. The SUT met all of these requirements. All of the redundant components were tested and found to meet all the failover and access requirements with a measured restoral within 5 seconds, with no loss of existing active circuits. This requirement was met with the following stipulations: It is the site's responsibility to configure the SUT in a manner which meets the engineering requirements listed in Enclosure 2, Section 11.2 d, and that it does not create a single point of failure which could impact more than 96 C2 users.

e. Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) Requirements. IAW UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.1.7.8, MPLS may be used to improve the performance of the ASLAN Core layer for implementations covering a large geographical area. MPLS was not evaluated and is not covered under this certification. This requirement is conditional, was not tested, and therefore, not certified for use.

f. IPv6 Requirements. All UC products must meet the IPv6 requirements IAW UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.3.5. The IPv6 requirements were met by testing and the vendor's LoC with the exceptions of the IPv6 RFCs 5798. The vendor partially meets the requirement for Virtual Router Redundancy Protocol (VRRP) version 3 for IPv4 and IPv6. On 2 Jan 2013, DISA NS accepted and approved the vendor's POA&M and adjudicated this discrepancy as minor. The SUT was tested and certified for joint use with IPv6 voice, video, and data traffic.

11.3 Information Assurance (IA). Security testing was accomplished via USAISEC TIC-led IA test teams and published in a separate report, Reference (e).

11.4 Other. None

12. TEST AND ANALYSIS REPORT. No detailed test report was developed IAW with the Program Manager's request. JITC distributes IO information via the JITC Electronic Report Distribution (ERD) system, which uses Unclassified-But-Sensitive Internet Protocol Router Network (NIPRNet) e-mail. More comprehensive IO status information is available via the JITC System Tracking Program (STP). The STP is accessible by .mil/gov users on the NIPRNet at <https://stp.fhu.disa.mil>. Test reports, lessons learned, and related testing documents and references are on the JITC Joint Interoperability Tool (JIT) at <http://jit.fhu.disa.mil> (NIPRNet). Information related to DSN testing is on the

Telecom Switched Services Interoperability (TSSI) website at <http://jitc.fhu.disa.mil/tssi>. Due to the sensitivity of the information, the Information Assurance Accreditation Package (IAAP) that contains the approved configuration and deployment guide must be requested directly through government civilian or uniformed military personnel from the Unified Capabilities Certification Office (UCCO); e-mail: disa.meade.ns.list.unified-capabilities-certificaion-office@mail.mil.

SYSTEM FUNCTIONAL AND CAPABILITY REQUIREMENTS

The Assured Services Local Area Networks (ASLAN) components have required and conditional features and capabilities that are established by Section 5.3.1 of the Unified Capabilities Requirements (UCR) 2008, Change 3. The system under test (SUT) does not need to provide conditional requirements. However, if a capability is provided, it must function according to the specified requirements. The detailed Functional requirements (FRs) and Capability Requirements (CRs) for ASLAN products are listed in Table 3-1. Detailed Information Assurance (IA) requirements are included in Reference (e).

Table 3-1. ASLAN Products CRs and FRs

| ID | Requirement (See note.) | UCR 2008, Change 3 Reference |
|----|---|--|
| 1 | ASLAN components can have no single point of failure for >96 users for C2 and Special C2 users. Non-ASLAN components can have a single point of failure for C2(R) and non-C2 users. (R) | 5.3.1.2.1, 5.3.1.7.7 |
| 2 | Non-blocking of any voice or video traffic at 50% Core/Distribution (R), 12.5% Non-Blocking Access. (R) | 5.3.1.3 |
| 3 | Maximum of 1 ms of voice jitter for all ASLAN components, 10 ms for video. (R) | 5.3.1.3 |
| 4 | Maximum of 0.015% voice, 0.05% video, and 0.05% Preferred Data packet loss. (R) | 5.3.1.3 |
| 5 | Maximum of 2 ms latency for voice, 10 ms for video. (R) | 5.3.1.3 |
| 6 | 100 Mbps IAW IEEE 802.3u and 1 Gbps IAW IEEE 802.3z for Core and Distribution layer components and one of : 10 Mbps IAW IEEE 802.3i/j, 100 Mbps IAW IEEE 802.3u or 1000 Mbps IAW IEEE 803.3z/ab for Access layer components. (R) May provide Fiber Channel IAW INCITS T11.2/3, but if provided, must support RFC 4338 and RFC 4044. (C) | 5.3.1.3.1 |
| 7 | Force mode and auto-negotiation IAW IEEE 802.3, filtering IAW RFC 1812, and flow control IAW IEEE 802.3x. (R) | 5.3.1.3.2 |
| 8 | Port Parameter Requirements | Auto-negotiation IAW IEEE 802.3. (R) |
| 9 | | Force mode IAW IEEE 802.3. (R) |
| 10 | | Flow control IAW IEEE 802.3x. (R) |
| 11 | | Filtering IAW RFC 1812. (R) |
| 12 | | Link Aggregation IAW IEEE 802.1AX (output/egress ports only). (R) |
| 13 | | Spanning Tree Protocol IAW IEEE 802.1D. (R) |
| 14 | | Multiple Spanning Tree IAW IEEE 802.1s. (R) |
| 15 | | Rapid Reconfiguration of Spanning Tree IAW IEEE 802.1w. (R) |
| 16 | | Link Layer Discovery Protocol (LLDP) IAW IEEE 802.1AB. Core and Distribution (C) Access (R) |
| 17 | | Link Layer Discovery- Media Endpoint Discovery IAW ANSI/TIA-1057. Core and Distribution (C) Access (R) |
| 18 | Power over Ethernet IAW either 802.3af-2003 or 802.3at-2009. (R) | 5.3.1.3.2 |
| 19 | Class of Service Marking: L3 DSCPs IAW RFC 2474. (R) L2 3-bit user priority field of the IEEE 802.1Q 2-byte TCI field. (C) | 5.3.1.3.3 |
| 20 | VLAN Capabilities IAW IEEE 802.1Q. (R) | 5.3.1.3.4 |
| 21 | Protocols IAW DISR profile (IPv4 and IPv6). IPv4 (R: LAN Switch, L2 Switch): IPv6 (R: LAN Switch, C: L2 Switch). Note: L2 switch is required to support only RFCs 2460, 5095, 2464, and be able to queue packets based on DSCPs in accordance with RFC 2474. Must conform to UCR 2008, Change 3, Table 5.3.1-4. | 5.3.1.3.5 |
| 22 | QoS Features | Shall support minimum of 4 queues. (R) |
| 23 | | Must be able to assign VLAN tagged packets to a queue. (R) |
| 24 | | Support DSCP PHBs per RFCs 2474, 2597, 3140, and 3246. (R: LAN Switch). |
| 25 | | Support RFCs in Table 5.3.1-5, FIFO 3670 (C), and one of the following RFCs: WFQ 3662, CQ 3670, PQ 1046 and CB-WFQ 3366. (R) |
| 26 | | Must be able to assign a bandwidth or percent of traffic to any queue. (R) |

Table 3-1. ASLAN Products CRs and FRs (continued)

| ID | Requirement (See note.) | | UCR 2008, Change 3 Reference |
|----|--|--|------------------------------|
| 27 | Network Monitoring | SNMP IAW RFCs 3411, 3412, 3413, 3414, 3415, 3416 and 3417. (R) | 5.3.1.3.7 |
| 28 | | SNMP traps IAW RFC 1215. (R) | |
| 29 | | Remote monitoring IAW RFC 2819 and Advanced Encryption Standard (AES) Cipher Algorithm in the SNMP User-based Security Model IAW RFC 3826. (R) | |
| 30 | | Coexistence between Version 1, Version 2, and Version 3 of the Internet-standard Network Management Framework IAW RFC 3584. (R) | |
| 31 | Product Requirements Summary IAW UCR 2008, Change 3, Table 5.3.1-5. (R) | | 5.3.1.3.9 |
| 32 | E2E Performance (Voice) | No more than 6 ms latency over any 5-minute period measured under congestion. (R) | 5.3.1.4.1 |
| | | No more than 3 ms jitter over any 5-minute period measured under congestion. (R) | |
| | | Packet loss not to exceed 0.045% engineered (queuing) parameters over any 5-minute period under congestion. (R) | |
| 33 | E2E Performance (Video) | No more than 30 ms latency over any 5-minute period measured under congestion. (R) | 5.3.1.4.2 |
| | | No more than 30 ms jitter over any 5-minute period measured under congestion. (R) | |
| | | Packet loss not to exceed engineered 0.15% (queuing) parameters over any 5-minute period under congestion. (R) | |
| 34 | E2E Performance (Data) | No more than 45 ms latency over any 5-minute period measured under congestion (R) | 5.3.1.4.3 |
| | | Packet loss not to exceed 0.15% engineered (queuing) parameters over any 5-minute period under congestion. (R) | |
| 35 | LAN Network Management | Configuration Control for ASLAN and non-ASLAN. (R) | 5.3.1.6.1 |
| 36 | | Operational Controls for ASLAN and non-ASLAN. (R) | 5.3.1.6.2 |
| 37 | | Performance Monitoring for ASLAN and non-ASLAN. (R) | 5.3.1.6.3 |
| 38 | | Alarms for ASLAN and non-ASLAN. (R) | 5.3.1.6.4 |
| 39 | | Reporting for ASLAN and non-ASLAN. (R) | 5.3.1.6.5 |
| 40 | Redundancy | Redundant Power Supplies. (Required on standalone redundant products.) | 5.3.1.7.7 |
| 41 | | Chassis Failover. (Required on standalone redundant products.) | |
| 42 | | Switch Fabric Failover. (Required on standalone redundant products.) | |
| 43 | | Non-LACP Link Failover. (R) | |
| 44 | | Fiber Blade Failover. (R) | |
| 45 | | Stack Failover. (C) (Required if the stack supports more than 96 users.) | |
| 46 | | CPU (routing engine) blade Failover. (R) | |
| 47 | MPLS | MPLS may not add measurable loss or jitter to system. (C) | 5.3.1.8.4.1 |
| 48 | | MPLS conforms to RFCs in UCR 2008, Change 3, Table 5.3.1-14. (C) | 5.3.1.8.4.1 |
| 49 | | MPLS Support L2 and L3 VPNs. (C) | 5.3.1.8.4.2.1/2 |
| 50 | IPv6 Product Requirements: Dual Stack for IPv4 and IPv6 IAW RFC 4213 if routing functions are supported. (C) | | 5.3.5.4 |
| 51 | IPv6 System Requirements | Support IPv6 IAW RFCs 2460 and 5095 if routing functions are supported. (C) | 5.3.5.4 |
| 52 | | Support IPv6 packets over Ethernet IAW RFC 2464. (R) | 5.3.5.4 |
| 53 | | Support MTU discovery IAW RFC 1981 if routing functions are supported. (C) | 5.3.5.4.1 |
| 54 | | Support a minimum MTU of 1280 IAW RFCs 2460 and 5095. (R) | 5.3.5.4.1 |
| 55 | | Shall not use the Flow Label field as described in RFC 2460. (R) | 5.3.5.4.2 |
| 56 | | Shall be capable of setting the Flow Label field when forwarding packets. (R) | 5.3.5.4.2 |
| 57 | | Shall be capable of ignoring the Flow Label field when receiving packets. (R) | 5.3.5.4.2 |
| 58 | | Shall support IPv6 addresses IAW RFC 4291. (R) | 5.3.5.4.3 |
| 59 | | Shall support IPv6 scoped address IAW RFC 4007. (R) | 5.3.5.4.3 |
| 60 | | If routing functions are supported: If DHCP is supported, the product shall support RFC 3315; if DHCPv6 is supported, it shall be implemented IAW RFC 3315. (C) | 5.3.5.4.4 |
| 61 | IPv6 Router Advertisements | If the system supports routing functions, the system shall inspect valid router advertisements sent by other routers and verify that the routers are advertising consistent information on a link and shall log any inconsistent router advertisements, and shall prefer routers that are reachable over routers whose reachability is suspect or unknown (C). | 5.3.5.4.5.2 |
| 62 | | If the system supports routing functions, the system shall include the MTU value in the router advertisement message for all links IAW RFC 2461 and RFC 4861. (C) | |
| 63 | | IPv6 Neighbor Discovery: The system shall not set the override flag bit in the neighbor advertisement message for solicited advertisements for anycast addresses or solicited proxy advertisements. (R) | |

Table 3-1. ASLAN Products CRs and FRs (continued)

| ID | Requirement (See note.) | UCR 2008, Change 3 Reference |
|----|---|-------------------------------|
| 64 | If routing functions are supported: Neighbor discovery IAW RFCs 2461 and 4861. (C) | |
| 65 | IPv6 Neighbor Discovery The system shall not set the override flag bit in the neighbor advertisement message for solicited advertisements for anycast addresses or solicited proxy advertisements. (R) | 5.3.5.4.5 |
| 66 | The system shall set the override flag bit in the neighbor advertisement message to "1" if the message is not an anycast address or a unicast address for which the system is providing proxy service. (R) | |
| 67 | If the system supports stateless IP address Auto-configuration, the system shall support IPv6 SLAAC for interfaces supporting UC functions IAW RFC 4862. (C) | |
| 68 | If the product supports IPv6 SLAAC, the product shall have a configurable parameter that allows the function to be enabled and disabled. (C) | 5.3.5.4.6 |
| 69 | If the product supports IPv6 SLAAC, the product shall have a configurable parameter that allows the "managed address configuration" flag and the "other stateful configuration" flag to always be set and not perform stateless auto-configuration. (C) | |
| 70 | If the product supports stateless IP address auto-configuration including those provided for the commercial market, the DAD shall be disabled IAW RFC 4862. (C) | |
| 71 | The system shall support manual assignment of IPv6 addresses. (R) | |
| 72 | If the system provides routing functions, the system shall default to using the "managed address configuration" flag and the "other stateful flag" set to TRUE in their router advertisements when stateful auto-configuration is implemented. (C) | |
| 73 | The system shall support the ICMPv6 as described in RFC 4443. (R) | 5.3.5.4.7 |
| 74 | The system shall have a configurable rate limiting parameter for rate limiting the forwarding of ICMP messages. (R) | |
| 75 | The system shall support the capability to enable or disable the ability of the system to generate a Destination Unreachable message in response to a packet that cannot be delivered to its destination for reasons other than congestion. (R) Required if LS supports routing functions. | |
| 76 | The system shall support the enabling or disabling of the ability to send an Echo Reply message in response to an Echo Request message sent to an IPv6 multicast or anycast address (C). Required if LS supports routing functions. | |
| 77 | The system shall validate ICMPv6 messages, using the information contained in the payload, prior to acting on them. (C) Required if LS supports routing functions. | |
| 78 | If the system supports routing functions, the system shall support the OSPF for IPv6, as described in RFC 5340. (C) This replaces RFC 2740. | 5.3.5.4.8 |
| 79 | If the system supports routing functions, the system shall support securing OSPF with IPsec as described for other IPsec instances in UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.4. (C) | |
| 80 | If the system supports routing functions, the system shall support OSPF for IPv6, as described in RFC 2740, router to router integrity using IP authentication header with HMAC-SHA1-128 with ESP, and AH as described in RFC 4302 (IA superseding requirement), and shall support OSPFv3 IAW RFC 4552. (C) | |
| 81 | If the system supports routing functions, the system shall support the Multicast Listener Discovery (MLD) process as described in RFC 2710 and extended in RFC 3810 and RFC 2711. (C) | |
| 82 | If nodes are managed via SNMP, it shall use MIBs IAW RFC 4293. (R) | 5.3.4.4.10/ 5.3.2.17.3.1.5 |
| 83 | If the product performs routing functions and is managed by SNMP, the product shall support the IP Forwarding MIB as defined in RFC 4292. (R) | 5.3.4.4.10 |
| 84 | Engineering Requirements: Physical Media for ASLAN and non-ASLAN. (R) (Site requirement) | 5.3.1.7.1 |
| 85 | Wireless. (C) | 5.3.1.7.2 |
| 86 | Traffic Engineering. (R) | 5.3.1.7.3 |
| 87 | VLAN Design and configuration. (R) | 5.3.1.7.4 |
| 88 | Battery back-up 2 hours for non-ASLAN components and 8 hours for ASLAN components. (R) (Site requirement) | 5.3.1.7.5 |
| 89 | Availability of 99.999 percent (Special C2), and 99.997 percent (C2) for ASLAN (R), and 99.9 percent (non-C2 and C2(R) for non-ASLAN. (R) (Site requirement) | 5.3.1.7.6 |

Table 3-1. ASLAN Products CRs and FRs (continued)

| ID | Requirement (See note.) | UCR 2008, Change 3 Reference |
|----|--|------------------------------|
| 90 | Port-Based Access Control IAW IEEE 802.1x. (R) | 5.3.1.3.2 |
| 91 | IA Security Requirements Secure methods for network configuration. SSH2 instead of Telnet and support RFCs 4251-4254. Must use HTTPS instead of http, and support RFCs 2660 and 2818 for ASLAN and non-ASLAN. (R) | 5.3.1.6 |
| 92 | IPSec shall be IAW RFC 4301. (R if IPSec is supported) | 5.3.1.3.8/ 5.3.5.4.9 |
| 93 | Must meet IA requirements IAW UCR 2008, Change 3, Section 5.4, for ASLAN and non-ASLAN. (R) | 5.3.1.5 |

NOTE: All requirements are for Core, Distribution, and Access layer components unless otherwise specified.

LEGEND:

| | | | | | |
|--------|---|--------|--|--------|---|
| AES | Advanced Encryption Standard | HTTP | Hypertext Transfer Protocol | MIB | Management Information Base |
| AH | Authentication Header | | | MLD | Multicast Listener Delivery |
| ANSI | American National Standards Institute | HTTPS | Hyper Text Transfer Protocol, Secure | MPLS | Multiprotocol Label Switching |
| ASLAN | Assured Services Local Area Network | IA | Information Assurance | ms | Millisecond |
| C | Conditional | IAW | In Accordance With | MTU | Maximum Transmission Unit |
| C2 | Command and Control | ICMP | Internet Control Message Protocol | OSPF | Open Shortest Path First |
| C2(R) | Command and Control ROUTINE Only | ICMPv6 | Internet Control Message Protocol for IPv6 | OSPFv3 | Open Shortest Path First Version 3 |
| CB-WFQ | Class Based-Weighted Fair Queuing | ID | Identification | PHB | Per Hop Behavior |
| CPU | Central Processing Unit | IEEE | Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers | PQ | Priority Queuing |
| CQ | Custom Queuing | INCITS | InterNational Committee for Information Technology Standards | QoS | Quality of Service |
| DAD | Duplicate Address Detection | | | R | Required |
| DHCP | Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol | IP | Internet Protocol | RFC | Request for Comments |
| DHCPv6 | Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 | IPSec | Internet Protocol Security | SHA1 | Secure Hash Algorithm Version 1.0 |
| DISR | Department of Defense Information Technology Standards Registry | IPv4 | Internet Protocol Version 4 | SLAAC | Stateless Auto Address Configuration |
| DSCP | Differentiated Services Code Point | IPv6 | Internet Protocol Version 6 | SNMP | Simple Network Management Protocol |
| E2E | End-to-End | L2 | Layer 2 | SSH2 | Secure Shell Version 2 |
| ESP | Encapsulating Security Payload | L3 | Layer 3 | TCI | Tag Control Information |
| FIFO | First-in First-Out | LACP | Link Aggregation Control Protocol | TIA | Telecommunications Industry Association |
| Gbps | Gigabits Per Second | LAN | Local Area Network | UC | Unified Capabilities |
| HMAC | Hash-Based Message Authentication Code | LLDP | Link Layer Discovery Protocol | UCR | Unified Capabilities Requirements |
| | | LS | LAN Switch | VLAN | Virtual Local Area Network |
| | | Mbps | Megabits Per Second | VPN | Virtual Private Network |
| | | MIB | Management Information Base | WFQ | Weighted Fair Queuing |