



DEFENSE INFORMATION SYSTEMS AGENCY

P. O. BOX 549
FORT MEADE, MARYLAND 20755-0549

IN REPLY
REFER TO: Joint Interoperability Test Command (JITC)

7 May 13

MEMORANDUM FOR DISTRIBUTION

SUBJECT: Extension of the Special Interoperability Test Certification of the REDCOM SLICE 2100™ Local Session Controllers (LSC), from Software Release 4.0 Revision 3 with Specified Patch Group 8 (4.0AR3P8) to 4.0AR3P9

References: (a) DoD Directive 4630.05, "Interoperability and Supportability of Information Technology (IT) and National Security Systems (NSS)," 5 May 2004
(b) CJCSI 6212.01E, "Interoperability and Supportability of Information Technology and National Security Systems," 15 December 2008
(c) through (g), see Enclosure

1. References (a) and (b) establish the Joint Interoperability Test Command (JITC), as the responsible organization for interoperability test certification.
2. The REDCOM SLICE 2100 LSC, Version Software Release 4.0 Revision 3 with Specified Patch Group 8 (4.0AR3P8) is hereinafter referred to as the System Under Test (SUT). The SUT is certified for joint use in the Defense Information System Network (DISN) as an LSC. The fielding of the SUT is limited to IP version 4 (IPv4) across the DISN. Although the SUT supports Internet Protocol version 6 (IPv6), it was not tested inter-enclave because of a limitation of the test network Edge Boundary Controller (EBC), which currently does not support end-to-end IPv6. Therefore, IPv6 is not covered under this certification. JITC will verify inter-enclave IPv6 capabilities of the SUT prior to amending the certification to include the capability. Intra-enclave use of IPv4 and IPv6 is authorized for use. Any new discrepancy noted in the operational environment will be evaluated for impact on the existing certification. These discrepancies will be adjudicated to the satisfaction of Defense Information Systems Agency (DISA) via a vendor Plan of Action and Milestones (POA&M), which will address all new critical Test Discrepancy Reports (TDR) within 120 days of identification. Testing was conducted using LSC product requirements derived from, Reference (c) and LSC test procedures derived from Reference (d). No other configurations, features, or functions, except those cited within this memorandum, are certified by JITC. This certification expires upon changes that affect interoperability, but no later than 27 March 2015, three years from the date of the original Unified Capabilities Approved Products List memorandum.
3. The extension of this certification is based upon Desktop Reviews (DTRs) 3 and 4. The original certification, documented in Reference (e), is based on interoperability testing conducted by JITC, review of the vendor's Letters of Compliance (LoC), and DISA Information Assurance (IA) Certification Authority (CA) approval of the IA configuration. Interoperability testing was conducted by JITC, Fort Huachuca, Arizona, from 8 March through 21 May 2010. Verification

JITC Memo, JTE, Extension of the Special Interoperability Test Certification of the REDCOM SLICE 2100™ Local Session Controllers (LSC), from Software Release 4.0 Revision 3 with Specified Patch Group 8 (4.0AR3P8) to 4.0AR3P9

and Validation (V&V) testing was conducted from 21 February through 4 March and 7 through 18 November 2011. Review of the vendor's LoC was completed on 10 November 2011. The DISA CA has reviewed the IA Assessment Report for the SUT, Reference (f), and based on the findings in the report provided a positive recommendation on 20 March 2012. Adjudication of open TDRs was completed by DISA on 27 March 2012. DTR 3 was requested to update the SUT software from 4.0AR3P8 to 4.0AR3P9. This DTR was also requested to include the REDCOM SLICE, REDCOM SLICE 2100 Micro, and the REDCOM SLICE IP. The REDCOM SLICE, SLICE 2100, and SLICE 2100 Micro use the same software and similar hardware as the SUT and support the same interfaces. The SUT includes an integrated Media Services Circuit (MSC) media processor and the SLICE includes an MSC plug-in module. JITC analysis determined the MSC media processors are electrically equivalent and functionally identical. The REDCOM SLICE 2100 Micro is a small form factor of the SUT without the accommodation for plug-in module support. The REDCOM SLICE IP is identical to the SUT with the exception that the two built-in, front-panel Digital Transmission Link Level 1 (T1)/European Basic Multiplex Rate (E1) interfaces have been removed. The T1/E1 interfaces are provided through a plug-in module that provides four T1/E1 ports.

This DTR was also requested to update the TEO 7810 Internet Protocol (IP) phone from Firmware 05.04.11 to 05.04.13. JITC determined that this DTR required V&V testing. DTR 4 was requested to include the TEO 4104 IP phone based on similarity to the previously certified TEO 7810 IP phone. The TEO 4104 has similar hardware and the same 05.04.13 firmware as the TEO 7810. The only difference is scalability; the TEO 7810 has ten programmable buttons and the TEO 4104 has four programmable buttons. JITC analysis determined that DTR 4 would be approved without further testing. JITC conducted testing for DTR 3 from 2 through 12 April 2013. There were no new interoperability discrepancies found during testing of DTR 3. Additionally, the four discrepancies mentioned in the subparagraphs below, identified during previous testing, were closed as a result of this V&V test.

a. The SUT did not comply with the management requirements for Assured Services Admission Control (ASAC) during the original test. This discrepancy was fixed and successfully tested with DTR 3, which included an update on the SUT from version 4.0AR3P8 to 4.0AR3P9.

b. The TEO IP phones did not properly tag Differentiated Services Code Point (DSCP) traffic and was not configurable for any value from 0 to 63. This discrepancy was fixed and successfully tested with DTR 3, which included an update on the TEO firmware from version 05.04.11 to 05.04.13.

c. There was a one-way audio/call drop with the call hold feature. Testers verified that the one-way audio discrepancy is caused by another switch and not the SUT. The SUT dropped the call when a Basic Rate Interface (BRI) End Instrument (EI) placed a call to another switch on hold. This issue did not occur if the other switch places the call on hold. This discrepancy was fixed and successfully tested with DTR 3, which included an update on the SUT from version 4.0AR3P8 to 4.0AR3P9.

JITC Memo, JTE, Extension of the Special Interoperability Test Certification of the REDCOM SLICE 2100™ Local Session Controllers (LSC), from Software Release 4.0 Revision 3 with Specified Patch Group 8 (4.0AR3P8) to 4.0AR3P9

d. The SUT attended transfer calls failed. The first two legs of the call were established and the analog EI could hook flash back and forth between the parties; however, when the attended transfer was attempted by the analog EI hanging up, the attended transfer failed. Although the vendor had previously submitted a POA&M to correct this, testers verified that this discrepancy was caused by another switch and not the SUT.

The DISA CA provided a positive recommendation for these DTRs on 30 April 2013, based on the security testing completed DISA-led IA test teams and published in a separate report, Reference (g). Therefore, JITC approves these DTRs.

4. The interface, Capability Requirements (CR) and Functional Requirements (FR), and component status of the SUT is listed in Tables 1 and 2. The threshold CR/FRs for LSCs are established by Sections 5.3.2, 5.3.4, 5.3.5, and 5.4 of Reference (c) and were used to evaluate the interoperability of the SUT.

Table 1. SUT Interface Interoperability Status

Interface	Critical	UCR Reference	Threshold CR/FR (See note 1.)	Status	Remarks (See note 2.)
Line Interfaces					
10Base-X	Yes	5.3.2.6.3	2, 4, 10, 13, 16	Certified	Met threshold CRs/FRs for IEEE 802.3i and 802.3j. Applies to PEIs (voice only).
100Base-X	Yes	5.3.2.6.3	2, 4, 10, 13, 16	Certified	Met threshold CRs/FRs for IEEE 802.3u. Applies to PEIs (voice only).
1000Base-X	No	5.3.2.6.3	2, 4, 10,13, 16	Not Tested	This interface is not offered by the SUT.
2-wire analog	Yes	5.3.2.6.1.6	2, 4, 10, 13,	Certified	Met threshold CRs/FRs for 2-wire analog instruments. Applies to 2-wire secure and non-secure analog instruments, fax, and modem.
BRI	No	5.3.2.6.1.8	2, 4, 10, 13	Certified	Met threshold CRs/FRs for BRI instruments. Applies to voice and clearmode data.
External Interfaces					
10Base-X	No (See note 3.)	5.3.2.4.2	1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16	Certified	Met threshold CRs/FRs for IEEE 802.3i and 802.3j. Applies to AS-SIP trunk.
100Base-X	No (See note 3.)	5.3.2.4.2	1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16	Certified	Met threshold CRs/FRs for IEEE 802.3u. Applies to AS-SIP trunk.
1000Base-X	No (See note 3.)	5.3.2.4.2	1, 2, 3, 6, 7, 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 16	Not Tested	This interface is not offered by the SUT.
ISDN T1 PRI NI-2 (ANSI T1.619a)	Yes	5.3.2.4.3	2, 3, 7, 8, 10, 13	Certified	Met threshold CRs/FRs. Provides legacy DSN and TELEPORT connectivity.
ISDN T1 PRI NI-2 (ANSI T1.607)	Yes	5.3.2.4.3	2, 3, 7, 8, 10, 13	Certified	Met threshold CRs/FRs. Provides PSTN Connectivity
T1 CCS7 ANSI T1.619a	No	5.3.2.12.9	2, 3, 7, 8, 10, 13	Not Tested	This interface is offered by the SUT; however, it was not tested.
T1 CAS (DP, DTMF, MFR1)	No	5.3.2.12.11	2, 3, 7, 8, 10, 13	Certified	Met threshold CRs/FRs for T1 CAS.
E1 CAS (DP, DTMF, MFR1)	No	5.3.2.12.11	2, 3, 7, 8, 10, 13	Certified	Met threshold CRs/FRs for T1 CAS.
E1 PRI ITU-T Q.955.3	No (See note 4.)	5.3.2.12.10	2, 3, 7, 8, 10, 13	Certified	Met threshold CRs/FRs for E1 PRI ITU-T Q.955.3. Provides Legacy DSN connectivity in Europe.

JITC Memo, JTE, Extension of the Special Interoperability Test Certification of the REDCOM SLICE 2100™ Local Session Controllers (LSC), from Software Release 4.0 Revision 3 with Specified Patch Group 8 (4.0AR3P8) to 4.0AR3P9

Table 1. SUT Interface Interoperability Status (continued)

Interface	Critical	UCR Reference	Threshold CR/FR (See note 1.)	Status	Remarks (See note 2.)
External Interfaces (continued)					
E1 PRI ITU-T Q.931	No (See note 4.)	5.3.2.12.10	2, 3, 7, 8, 10, 13	Certified	Met threshold CRs/FRs for E1 PRI ITU-T Q.931. Provides PSTN connectivity in Europe.
NM					
10Base-X	No (See note 3.)	5.3.2.4.4 5.3.2.7.2.8	16, 17	Certified	Met threshold CRs/FRs. Verified via LoC.
100Base-X	No (See note 3.)	5.3.2.4.4 5.3.2.7.2.8	16, 17	Certified	Met threshold CRs/FRs. Verified via LoC.
NOTES:					
1. The SUT high-level CR and FR ID numbers depicted in the Threshold CRs/FRs column can be cross-referenced in Table 2. These high-level CR/FR requirements refer to a detailed list of requirements provided in Reference (e), Enclosure 3.					
2. Detailed information pertaining to open TDRs and associated operational impacts is in Reference (e), Enclosure 2, paragraph 11.					
3. The SUT must provide a minimum of one of the listed interfaces.					
4. This interface is conditionally required for deployment in Europe.					
LEGEND:					
ANSI	American National Standards Institute		Mbps	Megabits per second	
AS-SIP	Assured Services Session Initiation Protocol		MFR1	Multi-Frequency Recommendation 1	
BRI	Basic Rate Interface		MLPP	Multi-Level Precedence and Preemption	
CAS	Channel Associated Signaling		NI-2	National ISDN-2	
CCS7	Common Channel Signaling 7		NM	Network Management	
CR	Capability Requirement		PEI	Proprietary End Instrument	
DP	Dial Pulse		PRI	Primary Rate Interface	
DSN	Defense Switched Network		PSTN	Public Switched Telephone Network	
DSS1	Digital Subscriber Signaling 1		Q.931	Signaling Standard for ISDN	
DTMF	Dual Tone Multi-Frequency		Q.955.3	ISDN Signaling Standard for E1 MLPP	
E1	European Basic Multiplex Rate (2.048 Mbps)		SS7	Signaling System 7	
FR	Functional Requirement		SUT	System Under Test	
ID	Identification		T1	Digital Transmission Link Level 1 (1.544 Mbps)	
IEEE	Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers		T1.607	ISDN – Layer 3 Signaling Specification For Circuit Switched Bearer Service For DSS1	
ISDN	Integrated Services Digital Network		T1.619a	SS7 and ISDN MLPP Signaling Standard For T1	
ITU-T	International Telecommunication Union – Telecommunication Standardization Sector		TDR	Test Discrepancy Report	
LoC	Letter of Compliance		UCR	Unified Capabilities Requirements	

Table 2. SUT CR and FR Status

CR/FR ID	Capability/Function	Applicability (See note 1.)	UCR Reference	Status
1	Assured Services Product Features and Capabilities			
	DSCP Packet Marking	Required	5.3.2.2.1.4	Met (See note 2.)
	Voice Features and Capabilities	Required	5.3.2.2.2.1	Met (See notes 3, 4, 5.)
	Public Safety Features	Required	5.3.2.2.2.2	Met
	ASAC – Open Loop	Required	5.3.2.2.2.3	Met (See note 6.)
	Signaling Protocols	Required	5.3.2.2.3	Met
	Signaling Performance	Conditional	5.3.2.2.4	Met
2	Registration, Authentication, and Failover			
	Registration	Required	5.3.2.3.1	Met
	Failover	Required	5.3.2.3.2	Partially Met (See notes 7, 8.)

JITC Memo, JTE, Extension of the Special Interoperability Test Certification of the REDCOM SLICE 2100™ Local Session Controllers (LSC), from Software Release 4.0 Revision 3 with Specified Patch Group 8 (4.0AR3P8) to 4.0AR3P9

Table 2. SUT CR and FR Status (continued)

CR/FR ID	Capability/Function	Applicability (See note 1.)	UCR Reference	Status
3	Product Physical, Quality, and Environmental Factors			
	Availability	Required	5.3.2.5.2.1	Partially Met (See note 7.)
	Maximum Downtimes	Required	5.3.2.5.2.2	Met
	Loss of Packets	Required	5.3.2.5.4	Met
4	Voice End Instruments			
	Tones and Announcements	Required	5.3.2.6.1.1	Met
	Audio Codecs	Required	5.3.2.6.1.2	Partially Met (See note 9.)
	VoIP PEI or AEI Audio Performance	Required	5.3.2.6.1.3	Partially Met (See note 10.)
	VoIP Sampling Standard	Required	5.3.2.6.1.4	Met
	Authentication to LSC	Required	5.3.2.6.1.5	Met
	Analog Telephone Support	Required	5.3.2.6.1.6	Met
	Softphones	Conditional	5.3.2.6.1.7	Not Tested (See note 11.)
ISDN BRI	Conditional	5.3.2.6.1.8	Met	
5	Video End Instruments			
	Video End Instrument	Required	5.3.2.6.2	Not Met (See note 12.)
	Display Messages, Tones, and Announcements	Required	5.3.2.6.2.1	Not Met (See note 12.)
	Video Codecs (Including Associated Audio Codecs)	Required	5.3.2.6.2.2	Not Met (See note 12.)
6	LSC Requirements			
	PBAS/ASAC Requirements	Required	5.3.2.7.2.1	Met
	Calling Number Delivery Requirements	Required	5.3.2.7.2.2	Met
	LSC Signaling Requirements	Required	5.3.2.7.2.3	Met
	Service Requirements under Total Loss of WAN Transport	Required	5.3.2.7.2.4	Met
	Local Location Server and Directory	Required	5.3.2.7.2.5	Met
	LSC Transport Interface Functions	Required	5.3.2.7.2.7	Met
	LSC to PEI, AEI, and Operator Console Status Verification	Required	5.3.2.7.2.10	Partially Met (See note 13.)
	Line-Side Custom Features Interference	Conditional	5.3.2.7.2.11	Not Tested (See note 14.)
Loop Avoidance	Required	5.3.2.7.3	Met	
7	Call Connection Agent Requirements			
	CCA IWF Component	Required	5.3.2.9.2.1	Met
	CCA MGC Component	Required	5.3.2.9.2.2	Met
	SG Component	Conditional	5.3.2.9.2.3	Not Tested (See note 14.)
	CCA-IWF Support for AS-SIP	Required	5.3.2.9.5.1	Met
	CCA-IWF Support for SS7	Conditional	5.3.2.9.5.2	Not Tested (See note 14.)
	CCA-IWF Support for PRI via MG	Required	5.3.2.9.5.3	Met
	CCA-IWF Support for CAS Trunks via MG	Conditional	5.3.2.9.5.4	Not Tested (See note 14.)
	CCA-IWF Support for PEI and AEI Signaling Protocols	Required	5.3.2.9.5.5	Met
	CCA-IWF Support for VoIP and TDM Protocol Interworking	Required	5.3.2.9.5.6	Met
	CCA Preservation of Call Ringing State during Failure Conditions	Required	5.3.2.9.6	Met
	CCA Interactions with Transport Interface Functions	Required	5.3.2.10.3	Met
	CCA Interactions with the EBC	Required	5.3.2.10.4	Met
	CCA Support for Admission Control	Required	5.3.2.10.5	Met
	CCA Support for UFS	Required	5.3.2.10.6	Met
	CCA Support for IA	Required	5.3.2.10.7	Met
	CCA Interaction with EIs	Required	5.3.2.10.10	Met
CCA Support for AS Voice and Video	Required	5.3.2.10.11	Partially Met (See note 12.)	
CCA Interactions with Service control Functions	Required	5.3.2.10.12	Met	
CCA Interworking between AS-SIP and SS7	Conditional	5.3.2.11	Not Tested (See note 14.)	

JITC Memo, JTE, Extension of the Special Interoperability Test Certification of the REDCOM SLICE 2100™ Local Session Controllers (LSC), from Software Release 4.0 Revision 3 with Specified Patch Group 8 (4.0AR3P8) to 4.0AR3P9

Table 2. SUT CR and FR Status (continued)

CR/FR ID	Capability/Function	Applicability (See note 1.)	UCR Reference	Status
8	MG Requirements			
	Role of MG In LSC	Required	5.3.2.12.3.1	Partially Met (See note 15.)
	MG Support for ASAC	Required	5.3.2.12.4.1	Met
	MG and IA Functions	Required	5.3.2.12.4.2	Met
	MG Interaction with Service Control Function	Required	5.3.2.12.4.3	Met
	MG Interactions with IP Transport Interface Functions	Required	5.3.2.12.4.4	Met
	MG-EBC interactions	Required	5.3.2.12.4.5	Met
	MG IP-Based PSTN Interface Requirements	Conditional	5.3.2.12.4.7	Not Tested (See note 14.)
	MG Interaction with EIs	Required	5.3.2.12.4.8	Met
	MG support for User Features and Services	Required	5.3.2.12.4.9	Met
	MG Interface to TDM	Required	5.3.2.12.5	Met
	MG Interface to TDM Allied and Coalition	Conditional	5.3.2.12.6	Not Tested (See note 14.)
	MG Interface to TDM PSTN in US	Required	5.3.2.12.7	Met
	MG Interfaces to TDM PSTN OCONUS	Required	5.3.2.12.8	Met
	MG Support for CCS7	Conditional	5.3.2.12.9	Not Tested (See note 14.)
	MG Support for ISDN PRI Trunks	Required	5.3.2.12.10	Met
	MG Support for CAS Trunks	Conditional	5.3.2.12.11	Met
	MG requirements for VoIP Internal Interfaces	Required	5.3.2.12.12	Met (See note 15.)
MG Echo Cancellation	Required	5.3.2.12.13	Met	
MG Clock Timing	Required	5.3.2.12.14	Met	
MGC-MG CCA Functions	Required	5.3.2.12.15	Met	
MG ITU-T V.150.1	Required	5.3.2.12.16	Met (See note 16.)	
MG Preservation of Call Ringing during Failure	Required	5.3.2.12.17	Met	
9	SG Requirements			
	SG and CCS7 network Interactions	Conditional	5.3.2.13.5.1	Not Tested (See note 14.)
	SG Interactions with CCA	Conditional	5.3.2.13.5.2	Not Tested (See note 14.)
	SG Interworking Functions	Conditional	5.3.2.13.5.3	Not Tested (See note 14.)
10	WWNDP Requirements			
	WWNDP	Required	5.3.2.16	Met
	DSN WWNDP	Required	5.3.2.16.1	Met
11	Commercial Cost Avoidance			
	Commercial Cost Avoidance	Required	5.3.2.23	Met (See noted 17, 18.)
12	AS-SIP Based for External Devices (Voicemail, Unified Messaging, and Automated Receiving Devices)			
	AS-SIP Requirements for External Interfaces	Conditional	5.3.2.24	Not Tested (See note 14.)
13	Precedence Call Diversion			
	Precedence Call Diversion	Required	5.3.2.25	Met
14	Attendant Station Features			
	Precedence and Preemption	Required	5.3.2.26.1	Met
	Call Display	Required	5.3.2.26.2	Met
	Class of Service Override	Required	5.3.2.26.3	Met
	Busy Override and Busy Verification	Required	5.3.2.26.4	Met
	Night service	Required	5.3.2.26.5	Met
	Automatic Recall of Attendant	Required	5.3.2.26.6	Met
Calls in Queue to the Attendant	Required	5.3.2.26.7	Met	

JITC Memo, JTE, Extension of the Special Interoperability Test Certification of the REDCOM SLICE 2100™ Local Session Controllers (LSC), from Software Release 4.0 Revision 3 with Specified Patch Group 8 (4.0AR3P8) to 4.0AR3P9

Table 2. SUT CR and FR Status (continued)

CR/FR ID	Capability/Function	Applicability (See note 1.)	UCR Reference	Status
15	AS-SIP Requirements			
	SIP Requirements for AS-SIP Signaling Appliances and AS-SIP EIs	Required	5.3.4.7	Met
	SIP Session Keep-Alive Timer	Required	5.3.4.8	Met
	Session Description Protocol	Required	5.3.4.9	Met
	Precedence and Preemption	Required	5.3.4.10	Met
	Video Telephony – General Rules	Required	5.3.4.12	Not Met (See note 12.)
	Calling Services	Required	5.3.4.13	Met
	SIP Translation Requirements for Inter-working AS-SIP Signaling Appliances	Required	5.3.4.14	Met
	Relevant Timers for the Terminating Gateway and the Originating Gateway	Required	5.3.4.15	Met
	SIP Requirements for Interworking AS-SIP Signaling Appliances	Required	5.3.4.16	Met
	Keep-Alive Timer Requirements for Interworking AS-SIP Signaling Appliances	Required	5.3.4.17	Met
Precedence and Preemption Extensions for Interworking AS-SIP Signaling Appliances	Required	5.3.4.18	Met	
Supplementary Services	Required	5.3.4.19	Partially Met (See note 3.)	
16	IPv6 Requirements			
	Product Requirements	Required	5.3.5.4	Met (See note 19.)
17	NM			
	LSC Management Function	Required	5.3.2.7.2.6	Met
	VVoIP NMS Interface Requirements	Required	5.3.2.4.4	Met (See note 20.)
	General Management requirements	Required	5.3.2.17.2	Met (See note 20.)
	Requirement for FCAPS Management	Required	5.3.2.17.3	Met
	NM requirements of Appliance Functions	Required	5.3.2.18	Met (See note 21.)
Accounting Management	Required	5.3.2.19	Met (See note 21.)	

NOTES:

- The annotation of 'required' refers to a high-level requirement category. The applicability of each sub-requirement is provided in Reference (e), Enclosure 3.
- The SUT correctly class marks calls based on the precedence level dialed; however, the DSCP values are not configurable from 0-63 as required by UCR 2008, Change 2. The TEO dual-stack IPv6 preferred IP phone tags outgoing voice media packets with a DSCP value of 49 (routine) for all precedence levels. This discrepancy was fixed and successfully tested with DTR 3, which included an update on the TEO firmware from version 05.04.11 to 05.04.13.
- During the original interoperability testing, the SUT met all critical CRs & FRs with one minor exception: In a 3-way conference, the SUT did not classmark the conference at the highest precedence level of each leg of the conference. This was adjudicated by DISA as having a minor operational impact with a vendor POA&M to fix by 1 July 2012. This discrepancy was fixed and successfully tested with DTR 1, which included an update on the SUT from version 4.0AR3P7 to 4.0AR3P8.
- The SUT experiences one way audio when an IP or analog EI places a call to another switch on hold. During the DTR 3 V&V test, testers verified that the one-way audio discrepancy is caused by another switch and not the SUT. The SUT drops the call when a BRI EI places a call to another switch on hold. These issues do not occur if the other switch places the call on hold. This discrepancy was fixed and successfully tested with DTR 3, which included an update on the SUT from version 4.0AR3P8 to 4.0AR3P9.
- The SUT attended transfer calls fail. The first two legs of the call are established and the analog EI can hook flash back and forth between the parties; however, when the attended transfer is attempted by the analog EI hanging up, the attended transfer fails. Although the vendor had previously submitted a POA&M to correct this, testers verified that this discrepancy was caused by another switch and not the SUT.
- During the original interoperability testing, the SUT did not support separate ASAC counts for video and voice. This was adjudicated by DISA as having a minor operational impact with a vendor POA&M to fix by 1 July 2012. This discrepancy was fixed and successfully tested with DTR 1, which included an update on the SUT from version 4.0AR3P7 to 4.0AR3P8.
- The SUT does not fully support the failover requirements. The SUT does not have dual power supplies, it does not properly switch to the backup processor when the Ethernet connection fails, and it has a single point of failure that could cause a loss of voice and/or video services. This was adjudicated as having a minor operational impact based on vendor's reliability calculations.

JITC Memo, JTE, Extension of the Special Interoperability Test Certification of the REDCOM SLICE 2100™ Local Session Controllers (LSC), from Software Release 4.0 Revision 3 with Specified Patch Group 8 (4.0AR3P8) to 4.0AR3P9

Table 2. SUT CR and FR Status (continued)

NOTES (continued):

8. The SUT did not initially support the LSC failover requirements in UCR 2008, Change 2, paragraph 5.3.2.3.2, but demonstrated compliance during DTR 2 testing. DISA NS2 supported a multi-vendor failover test event at JITC from 8 through 19 October 2012 to newly drafted failover requirements in the UCR. The SUT met these new requirements, which will be included in the next version of the UCR (UCR 2013).
9. The SUT does not support ITU-T G.722.1 voice codec. This was adjudicated by DISA as having a minor operational impact with a vendor POA&M to fix by December 2012.
10. The SUT only supports voice PEIs. The vendor did not support AEI voice capability. This was adjudicated by DISA to have a minor operational impact since there were no certified AEI video end instruments on the UC APL.
11. The vendor did not provide soft phones with the SUT for interoperability testing; therefore, they were not tested. Soft phones are a conditional requirement.
12. The SUT offers video; however, it is not covered under this certification. JITC only conducted limited video testing on the SUT with Polycom video EIs. Due to the fact that their video EI is not IPv6 capable and did not offer a GA load it did not meet this requirement. This was adjudicated by DISA as having a minor operational impact with a vendor POA&M to fix by February 2013. The vendor has stated the initial firmware will be available in 2012 and the GA load will be available in 2013. The SUT is not certified for use with video EIs.
13. The SUT does not comply with the requirement to be configurable to set interval times to verify status of its IP EI's with the default set at five minutes. This was adjudicated by DISA as having a minor operational impact with the intent to change the requirement to conditional in next UCR update.
14. This is a conditional requirement and was not tested.
15. The SUT met this requirement with VoIP PEIs only.
16. During the original interoperability testing, the SUT did not fully comply with the requirements for ITU-T V.150.1. For FAX (ITU-T T.38 transitions) the LSC maintains the session protocol (UDP). However, the port is changed when moving from VoIP to FoIP. The LSC sends a REINVITE (per MGC transitioning rules) with the new port number; therefore, SIP compliant devices (including the EBC) should follow the port change. The port selection is currently configurable for MoIP because MoIP does not use the REINVITE method for transition. This was adjudicated by DISA as having a minor operational impact with a vendor POA&M to fix by 1 July 2012. This discrepancy was fixed and successfully tested with DTR 1, which included an update on the SUT from version 4.0AR3P7 to 4.0AR3P8.
17. During the original interoperability testing, the SUT did not support LDAP V3 for CCA. Transition to the LDAP format was in progress and was expected to be functional by late June 2011. This was adjudicated by DISA as having a minor operational impact with a vendor POA&M to fix by 1 July 2012. This discrepancy was fixed and successfully tested with DTR 1, which included an update on the SUT from version 4.0AR3P7 to 4.0AR3P8.
18. The vendor submitted an LoC with the following discrepancy: The SUT does not support the Commercial Cost Avoidance LDAP database keep-alive messaging format IAW UCR 2008, Change 2, section 5.3.2.28.5.2.5. The vendor states the frequency of the keep-alive messages is too frequent. DISA adjudicated this as minor and stated their intent to change the requirement in UCR 2013.
19. During the original interoperability testing, the vendor submitted an IPv6 LoC with noted discrepancies which include: The SUT is not fully compliant with IPv6 neighbor discovery IAW RFC 4861. This was adjudicated by DISA as having a minor operational impact with a vendor POA&M to fix by 1 July 2012. This discrepancy was fixed and successfully tested with DTR 1, which included an update on the SUT from version 4.0AR3P7 to 4.0AR3P8.
20. During the original interoperability testing, the vendor submitted an LoC with the following noted discrepancy: The SUT is fully compliant when stacked, partially compliant in single shelf. A separate serial management interface is provided for CIT and other management traffic.
21. During the original interoperability testing, the vendor submitted an NM LoC with the following noted discrepancies: The SUT does not fully comply with the CDR and QoS requirements per UCR 2008, Change 2, section 5.3.2.19.2.1.x. This discrepancy was fixed and successfully tested with DTR 1, which included an update on the SUT from version 4.0AR3P7 to 4.0AR3P8. The SUT does not comply with the Management Requirements for ASAC per UCR 2008, Change 2, section 5.3.2.18.2. This discrepancy was fixed and successfully tested with DTR 3, which included an update on the SUT from version 4.0AR3P8 to 4.0AR3P9.

JITC Memo, JTE, Extension of the Special Interoperability Test Certification of the REDCOM SLICE 2100™ Local Session Controllers (LSC), from Software Release 4.0 Revision 3 with Specified Patch Group 8 (4.0AR3P8) to 4.0AR3P9

Table 2. SUT CR and FR Status (continued)

LEGEND:			
AEI	AS-SIP End Instrument	JITC	Joint Interoperability Test Command
Apl	Approved Products List	LDAP	Lightweight Directory Access Protocol
AS	Assured Services	LoC	Letter of Compliance
ASAC	Assured Services Admission Control	LSC	Local Session Controller
AS-SIP	Assured Services Session Initiation Protocol	MG	Media Gateway
BRI	Basic Rate Interface	MGC	Media Gateway Controller
CAS	Channel Associated Signaling	MoIP	Modem over Internet Protocol
CCA	Call Connection Agent	NM	Network Management
CCS7	Common Channel Signaling 7	NMS	Network Management System
CDR	Call Detail Records	OCONUS	Outside the Continental United States
CR	Capabilities Requirement	PBAS	Precedence-Based Assured Service
DISA	Defense Information Systems Agency	PEI	Proprietary End Instrument
DSCP	Differentiated Services Code Point	POA&M	Plan of Actions and Milestones
DSN	Defense Switched Network	PRI	Primary Rate Interface
DTR	Desktop Review	PSTN	Public Switched Telephone Network
EBC	Edge Boundary Controller	QoS	Quality of Service
EI	End Instrument	RFC	Request for Comments
FCAPS	Fault, Configuration, Accounting, Performance, and Security	SG	Signaling Gateway
FoIP	Fax over Internet Protocol	SIP	Session Initiation Protocol
FR	Functional Requirement	SS7	Signaling System Number 7
GA	General Available	SUT	System Under Test
IA	Information Assurance	TDM	Time Division Multiplexing
IAW	in accordance with	UC	Unified Capabilities
ID	Identification	UCR	Unified Capabilities Requirements
IP	Internet Protocol	UDP	User Datagram Protocol
IPv6	Internet Protocol version 6	UFS	User Features and Services
ISDN	Integrated Services Digital Network	VoIP	Voice over Internet Protocol
ITU-T	International Telecommunication Union - Telecommunication Standardization Sector	VVoIP	Voice and Video over Internet Protocol
IWF	Interworking Function	WAN	Wide Area Network
		WWNDP	World Wide Numbering and Dialing Plan

5. No detailed test report was developed in accordance with the Program Manager's request. JITC distributes interoperability information via the JITC Electronic Report Distribution (ERD) system, which uses Unclassified-But-Sensitive Internet Protocol Router Network (NIPRNet) e-mail. More comprehensive interoperability status information is available via the JITC System Tracking Program (STP). STP is accessible by .mil/gov users on the NIPRNet at <https://stp.fhu.disa.mil>. Test reports, lessons learned, and related testing documents and references are on the JITC Joint Interoperability Tool (JIT) at <http://jit.fhu.disa.mil> (NIPRNet). Due to the sensitivity of the information, the Information Assurance Accreditation Package (IAAP) that contains the approved configuration and deployment guide must be requested directly through government civilian or uniformed military personnel from the Unified Capabilities Certification Office (UCCO), e-mail: disa.meade.ns.list.unified-capabilities-certification-office@mail.mil. All associated data is available on the DISA UCCO website located at website located at <http://www.disa.mil/Services/Network-Services/UCCO>.

JITC Memo, JTE, Extension of the Special Interoperability Test Certification of the REDCOM SLICE 2100™ Local Session Controllers (LSC), from Software Release 4.0 Revision 3 with Specified Patch Group 8 (4.0AR3P8) to 4.0AR3P9

6. The JITC point of contact is Mr. Cary Hogan, DSN 879-2589, commercial (520) 538-2589, FAX DSN 879-4347, or e-mail to cary.v.hogan.civ@mail.mil. JITC's mailing address is P.O. Box 12798, Fort Huachuca, AZ 85670-2798. The UCCO tracking number for the SUT is 0932803.

FOR THE COMMANDER:

Enclosure a/s


for RICHARD A. MEADOR
Chief
Battlespace Communications Portfolio

Distribution (electronic mail):

DoD CIO

Joint Staff J-6, JCS

USD(AT&L)

ISG Secretariat, DISA, JTA

U.S. Strategic Command, J665

US Navy, OPNAV N2/N6FP12

US Army, DA-OSA, CIO/G-6 ASA(ALT), SAIS-IOQ

US Air Force, A3CNN/A6CNN

US Marine Corps, MARCORSYSCOM, SIAT, A&CE Division

US Coast Guard, CG-64

DISA/TEMC

DIA, Office of the Acquisition Executive

NSG Interoperability Assessment Team

DOT&E, Netcentric Systems and Naval Warfare

Medical Health Systems, JMIS IV&V

HQUSAISEC, AMSEL-IE-IS

UCCO

ADDITIONAL REFERENCES

- (c) Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense, "Department of Defense Unified Capabilities Requirements 2008, Change 2," 31 December 2010
- (d) Joint Interoperability Test Command, "Unified Capabilities Test Plan (UCTP)," Draft
- (e) Joint Interoperability Test Command, Memo, JTE, "Special Interoperability Test Certification of the REDCOM SLICE 2100™ Local Session Controllers (LSC), Software Release 4.0 Revision 3 with Specified Patch Group 7 (4.0AR3P7)," 30 March 2012
- (f) Joint Interoperability Test Command, "Information Assurance (IA) Assessment of REDCOM SLICE 2100™ Local Session Controllers, Version 4.0AR3 (TN 0932803)," Draft
- (g) Joint Interoperability Test Command, "Information Assurance (IA) Assessment of REDCOM SLICE 2100 Release (Rel.) 4.0AR3P8 (Tracking Number 0932803)," Draft